
Subject: Re: Modifying Arrays and Structures in HASH's (hint: you can't)

Posted by [Lajos Foldy](#) on Mon, 29 Jul 2013 09:44:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Monday, July 29, 2013 10:46:36 AM UTC+2, mschellens wrote:

> As of IDL 8.0, this is not correct. An IDL LIST is really a single linked list made up of (PTR) heap variable nodes (IDL_CONTAINER_NODE). The IDL_CONTAINER::GET function creates then the array.

>

> But your method works, as the (copied) pointers access the same heap variables. This is also the core of the mechanism I suggested for _OVERLOADBRACKETSLEFTSIDE.

>

> Also note, that at least with HEAP the IDL_CONTAINER::GET functionality cannot work anymore (as you cannot pick the right element).

>

> And it is of course as well not efficient, to convert the complete container to a pointer array in order to left-access one element.

>

> And the call to GET is almost as ugly as copying out one element, left-accessing it and copying it back.

>

With huge list elements, copying out and back is very unefficient, creating a pointer array is much faster.

I do not understand the idea behind list. If it is a linked list, then accessing elements through subscripting is $O(n)$ vs. $O(1)$ in arrays. This makes lists practically unusable.

Try this test program to access the last element in a list:

```
pro list_test
l=list(1,2,3)
```

```
t=systime(1)
for j=1,106 do x=l[-1]
print, " 3 elements: ", systime(1)-t
```

```
for j=4,103 do l.add, j
```

```
t=systime(1)
for j=1,106 do x=l[-1]
print, " 106 elements: ", systime(1)-t
```

```
end
```

IDL 8.2.3:

3 elements: 7.6518829
10^6 elements: 47.781787

GDL CVS:

3 elements: 0.5020251
10^6 elements: 44.200854

FL 0.79.25:

3 elements: 0.044948101
10^6 elements: 0.042613983

My pointer array based LIST implementation is about 10-150x faster for the small list, and more than 1000x faster for the large list.

regards,
Lajos
