
Subject: Re: What subprogram? What parameters and keywords?
Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Wed, 28 Aug 2013 20:52:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 08/23/13 11:29, Michael Galloy wrote:

> On 8/23/13 8:50 am, fawltlanguage@gmail.com wrote:

>> On Friday, August 23, 2013 4:35:56 PM UTC+2, Mark Piper wrote:

>>

>>> We're looking at introducing the equivalent of argc-argv, where

>>> argv[0] is the name of the routine and argv[1-n] are the

>>> parameters. Ronn Kling suggested that a list would be a good

>>> container for this info. How about new intrinsic routines IDL_ARGC

>>> and IDL_ARGV?

That would be marvyplate.

>> We have keyword parameters, so a HASH seems to be more appropriate.

>> #0 can be the routine name, #1, #2, ... the positional parameters.

>>

>> regards, Lajos

>>

>

> How about a hash for the keywords and a list for the positional parameters?

+1 for the hash<->keywords idea. A list for keywords doesn't make too much sense (to me at least).

cheers,

paulv
