
Subject: Re: Inverse hyperbolics?

Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Wed, 11 Sep 2013 21:20:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Huh, that is weird. If you've got ALOG in the list of transcendentals, why not its "inverse"?

BTW, this is a side issue, I've always wondered why the logarithm function is called ALOG instead of just LOG.

cheers,

paulv

On 09/11/13 15:28, fawltlanguage@gmail.com wrote:

> On Wednesday, September 11, 2013 6:14:43 PM UTC+2, Mark Piper wrote:

>

>> This is a very reasonable request. While we're at it, maybe we
>> should add secant, cosecant and cotangent functions. And a log base
>> 2 function. Here's the list of transcendental functions currently
>> in IDL:

>>

>> <http://www.exelisvis.com/docs/routines-65.html>

>>

>

> exp? :-)

>

> (It is there under Math Miscellaneous, but why there?)

>

> regards, Lajos

>
