Subject: Re: Inverse hyperbolics?
Posted by tom.grydeland on Thu, 12 Sep 2013 09:58:50 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wednesday, September 11, 2013 6:14:43 PM UTC+2, Mark Piper wrote:

> This is a very reasonable request.

Glad you think so! :-)

- > While we're at it, maybe we should add secant, cosecant and cotangent functions.
- ... and their hyperbolic counterparts?
- > And a log base 2 function.

Certainly.

- > http://www.exelisvis.com/docs/routines-65.html
- > Any others?

We've found that an 'EXPI' function, returning 'COMPLEX(COS(X), SIN(X))', would give a significant improvement in performance over 'EXP(I*X)' for a real argument.

> mp

--T