Subject: Re: Problem running functions
Posted by Paul Van Delst[1] on Mon, 16 Sep 2013 17:20:26 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello,

I don't use the workbench. IMO it's just trading in a bunch of exposed problems for others under a (generally) locked hood. But don't let my bias stop you from giving it a go. You may really like/prefer it.

Getting back to your issue:

I'm not a CSH person, but the syntax you listed,

setenv IDL\_PATH":\$HOME/shdom/idl"

doesn't look right. Should it be:

setenv IDL\_PATH "\${IDL\_PATH}:+\\$HOME/shdom/idl"

?

You want to make sure you prepend (or append) the IDL\_PATH to itself.

Also, DavidF's comment about the order may be an issue. I take great pains to \*not\* have similarly named routines in different directories. But if you do have several versions of the same routines, not specifying a specific order may cause problems. I would recommend fixing that by having only one version of everything, but that may not be a workable/quick solution.

cheers,

paulv

p.s. Nothing wrong with being a Fortran programmer. That's what I am. Fortran2003 all the way! :o)

On 09/13/13 21:43, laura.hike@gmail.com wrote:

- > On Friday, September 13, 2013 6:38:58 PM UTC-7, laura...@gmail.com
- > wrote:
- >> I take it back: it's not working.

>

- > Maybe this is why I gave up and put the path into the file in the
- > first place.
- > (I don't use the workbench, I write code like a FORTRAN programmer.

> Now THIS is where I need to move to the 21st century!)

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from comp.lang.idl-pvwave archive