

---

Subject: Re: chisq value

Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Tue, 17 Sep 2013 16:31:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Monday, September 16, 2013 1:11:11 AM UTC-4, sid wrote:

> Hello everyone,

>

> I am trying to understand what is the chisq keyword means in the routine,

>

> poly\_fit,

>

> svdfit

>

> linfit

>

>

>

> So I took a simple example, x=[1,2,3,4],y=[1,2,3,4]

>

> when I give

>

> p=poly\_fit(x,y,1,chisq=c)

>

> c= 1.14631e-30

>

> p=svdfit(x,y,2,chisq=c)

>

> c= 2.86139e-13

>

> p=linfit(x,y,chisqr=c)

>

> c=0.00000

>

>

>

> for poly\_fit and linfit the definition of chisq is the same

>

> "Set this keyword to a named variable that will contain the value of the unreduced chi-square goodness-of-fit statistic"

>

>

>

> But the chisq values are different in both these cases even though the input values given are same.

>

>

>

> Could anyone please let me know what this chisq fit actually means.

I agree with what Mats said. This is numerical round-off error, which is computer-dependent.

An additional point is that these routines are using different levels of numerical precision. Round-off error for floating point (which is usually the default precision), is typically  $\sim 1e-7$ , and for double precision is  $\sim 1d-16$ . After you square the residuals, you get squared round-off errors of  $\sim 1e-14$  and  $\sim 1d-32$ , which is close to some of the numbers you get.

If you force your X and Y arrays to be double precision, then the values of chi-square are much smaller.

Craig

---