
Subject: Re: Yet another user with poly_fit problems
Posted by [Yngvar Larsen](#) on Thu, 03 Oct 2013 11:00:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thursday, 3 October 2013 00:14:56 UTC+2, Gus wrote:

> To contextualize the discussion, I just wanted to say that the actual mathematical equation used in the fitting is not so important for the engineering application for which I use it for. What is important is that, whichever method I use, it should be consistently applied for future datasets.
>
> Moreover, I expect to always have evenly spaced Y values, but increasingly spaced X values. Perhaps I need to look into the different fitting methods and determine whether one of them is acceptable for all future datasets.

How about fitting Y versus $\log(X+X_0)$ then?

```
X = [0.000000d, 11.6667d, 822.914d, 3458.85d, 27703.4d, 133928d]
Y = [15.9000d, 16.0000d, 17.0000d, 18.0000d, 19.0000d, 20.0000d]
X0 = - min(X) + 1d0 ; "Randomly" chosen to make  $\min(X+X_0)>0$  for the ALOG operation.
```

```
C = poly_fit(alog(X+X0), Y, 3, /double, yfit=D)
```

```
plot, X+X0, Y, /xlog
oplot, X+X0, D, col='ff'x
```

I don't know what X and Y values you expect, but this seems to work reasonably well for the ones you specify in your original post.

--
Yngvar
