Subject: Re: Using Minimum operator with NaN values Posted by wlandsman on Tue, 22 Oct 2013 21:48:04 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

> I do dislike that the > and < operators do not work with NaNs, however there is a simple workaround if you need it (this is what I do when I need to preserve NaNs through those operations).

> >>

> print,(a>2)+a*0

Thanks. The above code is almost twice as fast for me compared with

g=where(finite(a))a[g] = a[g]>2

though it still gives an illegal operand error on the Mac. --Wayne