

---

Subject: Re: Using thick=2 increases FG plot time by 25x?!?!  
Posted by [lecacheux.alain](#) on Fri, 22 Nov 2013 08:17:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Le jeudi 21 novembre 2013 19:35:56 UTC+1, Paul van Delst a écrit :

> I have the following code to plot some data (~25000 points) using the

>

> PLOT() function:

>

>

>

> tic

>

> po = plot(fo, ro, \$

>

>     xrange=xrange, \$

>

>     yrange=[-0.005,0.025], \$

>

>     xticklen = ticklen, \$

>

>     yticklen = ticklen, \$

>

>     xtickfont\_size = 9, \$

>

>     ytickfont\_size = 9, \$

>

>     color = 'red', \$

>

>     thick = 1 ) ; <<<---\*\*\*\*

>

> pn = plot(fn, rn, \$

>

>     color='green', \$

>

>     symbol = 'diamond', \$

>

>     /overplot)

>

> pz = plot(po.xrange,[0,0], \$

>

>     linestyle='dashed', \$

>

>     /overplot)

>

> pz.order, /send\_to\_back

>

> toc

>  
>  
>  
> When I run this I get the plot and the following output from toc:  
>  
>  
>  
> % Time elapsed: 1.1067200 seconds.  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> I then changed \*ONLY\* the value of thick to "thick = 2", recompiled the  
>  
> procedure, and ran it. I got the following:  
>  
>  
>  
> % Time elapsed: 25.625711 seconds.  
>  
>  
>  
> Am I doing something stupidly wrong here, or is this a known bug?  
>  
>  
>  
> IDL> print, !version  
>  
> { x86\_64 linux unix linux 8.2.2 Jan 23 2013    64    64}  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> cheers,  
>  
>  
>  
> paulv  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> p.s. The first time this happened I thought my computer had karked it so  
>  
> I did the ctrl-C dealy after about 10-15 seconds and got the following:

```
>
>
>
> ^C^C^C^C^C^C^C^C
>
> Interrupted at: IDLITVISDATASPACE::DRAW 2389
>
> /usr/local/exelis/idl82/lib/itools/framework/idlitvisdataspace__define.pro
>
>
>
> IDL> .reset_session
>
> % Interrupted at: IDLITVISDATASPACE::DRAW 2389
>
> /usr/local/exelis/idl82/lib/itools/framework/idlitvisdataspace__define.pro
>
> % Internal error: The Interpreter stack is not empty on exit.
```

I cannot reproduce (1.4s on my Workstation in each case)!

{ x86\_64 Win32 Windows Microsoft Windows 8.2.3 May 3 2013 64 64 }

Maybe were you close to some memory limit in your IDL session, then swapping to disk ?

alx.

---