
Subject: Re: SPAWN

Posted by [Tim Patterson](#) on Tue, 08 Apr 1997 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Nobu,

If you have database retrieval routines already in C, have you thought about using CALL_EXTERNAL to link the C routines directly into your IDL code and save you having to use SPAWNs which will likely be slower.

There's a lot of examples out there for calling up C from IDL and it's now a relatively simple thing to set up on most platforms.

Tim

Nobuyuki Tasaka wrote:

>
> Hi all,
>
> If someone who have tried to compare SPAWN in the following
> usage, please let me know the difference in terms of data
> parsing speed, interface flexibility and routine's independency.
>
> I would like to use SPAWN for calling database retriving routine
> written in C.
>
> 1) SPAWN, "cmd", result
>
> 2) SPAWN, "cmd", /UNIT
>
> 3) SPAWN, "cmd"
> (C routine writes data to memory map file and then IDL read it
> as a Logical Unit File)
>
> I'm looking forward to your help.
> Nobu
>
> -----
> Nobuyuki Tasaka
> GE Medical Systems
> 3200 N Grandview Blvd,
> Waukesha, WI 53188
> (Phone) 414-521-6577
> (E-mail) tasaka@mr.med.ge.com

> -----

--

Dr.Feelgood's Amazing And Marvellous Poetic Panacea
Guaranteed To Cure All Ailments Of The Soul
NO REFUNDS
<http://condor.lpl.arizona.edu/~tim/>
