

---

Subject: Re: Searching for fast linear interpolation routine  
Posted by [David Crain](#) on Mon, 07 Apr 1997 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Christian Marquardt wrote:

>  
> Hello,  
>  
> Liam Gumley wrote:  
>>  
>> Roger J. Dejus wrote:  
>>> Did someone write a fast linear interpolation routine for irregular one  
>>> dimensional arrays (monotonically ascending or descending abscissas)  
>>> similar in functionality to the INTERPOL.PRO routine from RSI?  
>>  
>> If you can find a way to use the built-in routine INTERPOLATE, you will  
>> see a dramatic speed increase - it is very fast on 1D, 2D or 3D arrays.  
>>  
>> Cheers,  
>> Liam.  
>  
> Recently, Paul Richiazz posted a solution to this problem to the  
> newsgroup; I'll attach his posting...  
>  
> Hope this helps,  
>  
> Chris Marquardt.  
>  
> -----  
> Path: fu-berlin.de!news.apfel.de!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.bc.net!  
info.ucla.edu!nnrp.info.ucla.edu!ucsbuxb.ucsbs.edu!ucsbuxb.uc sb.edu!paul  
> From: paul@orion.crseo.ucsbs.edu (Paul Ricchiazz)  
> Newsgroups: comp.lang.idl-pwave  
> Subject: A faster way to INTERPOL  
> Date: 21 Feb 1997 21:30:44 GMT  
> Organization: University of California, Santa Barbara  
> Lines: 130  
> Distribution: global  
> Message-ID: <PAUL.97Feb21133044@orion.crseo.ucsbs.edu>  
> NNTP-Posting-Host: orion.crseo.ucsbs.edu  
>  
> I find that using INTERPOL to do 1-d interpolations on large irregular  
> grids can be extremely time consuming. The problem with INTERPOL is  
> that it uses a linear search to find where a given field point fits  
> into the irregular grid. Below you'll find my solution to the  
> problem. The procedure FINDEX uses a binary search to obtain a  
> "floating point index" which can be used with INTERPOLATE. I have  
> found that the FINDEX + INTERPOLATE method can be up to 70 times

> faster than using INTERPOL. I am donating this procedure to the IDL  
> community in hopes of saving untold millions of machine cycles that  
> would otherwise have been wasted in futile linear searches. But  
> seriously, give it a try and let me know if it breaks.  
>  
> Regards,  
>  
> Paul Ricchiazz  
>  
> -----8<-----8<-----8<-----8<-----8 <-----8<  
>  
> function findex,u,v  
> ;+  
> ; ROUTINE: findex  
> ;  
> ; PURPOSE: Compute "floating point index" into a table using binary  
> ; search. The resulting output may be used with INTERPOLATE.  
> ;  
> ; USEAGE: result = findex(u,v)  
> ;  
> ; INPUT:  
> ; u a monitically increasing or decreasing 1-D grid  
> ; v a scalar, or array of values  
> ;  
> ; OUTPUT:  
> ; result Floating point index. Integer part of RESULT(i) gives  
> ; the index into to U such that V(i) is between  
> ; U(RESULT(i)) and U(RESULT(i)+1). The fractional part  
> ; is the weighting factor  
> ;  
> ; 
$$\frac{V(i)-U(RESULT(i))}{U(RESULT(i)+1)-U(RESULT(i))}$$
  
> ;  
> ;  
> ; DISCUSSION:  
> ; This routine is used to expedite one dimensional  
> ; interpolation on irregular 1-d grids. Using this routine  
> ; with INTERPOLATE is much faster then IDL's INTERPOL  
> ; procedure because it uses a binary instead of linear  
> ; search algorithm. The speedup is even more dramatic when  
> ; the same independent variable (V) and grid (U) are used  
> ; for several dependent variable interpolations.  
> ;  
> ;  
> ; EXAMPLE:  
> ;  
> ; In this example I found the FINDEX + INTERPOLATE combination

```

> ;; to be about 60 times faster then INTERPOL.
> ;
> ; u=randomu(iseed,200000) & u=u(sort(u))
> ; v=randomu(iseed,10)   & v=v(sort(v))
> ; y=randomu(iseed,200000) & y=y(sort(y))
> ;
> ; t=systime(1) & y1=interpolate(y,findex(u,v)) & print,systime(1)-t
> ; t=systime(1) & y2=interpol(y,u,v)           & print,systime(1)-t
> ; print,f='(3(a,10f7.4/)','findex: ',y1,'interpol: ',y2,'diff: ',y1-y2
> ;
> ; AUTHOR: Paul Ricchiazzi          21 Feb 97
> ; Institute for Computational Earth System Science
> ; University of California, Santa Barbara
> ; paul@icess.ucsb.edu
> ;
> ; REVISIONS:
> ;
> ;-
> ;
> nu=n_elements(u)
> nv=n_elements(v)
>
> us=u-shift(u,+1)
> us=us(1:)
> umx=max(us,min=umn)
> if umx gt 0 and umn lt 0 then message,'u must be monotonic'
> if umx gt 0 then inc=1 else inc=0
>
> maxcomp=fix(alog(float(nu))/alog(2.)+.5)
>
> ; maxcomp = maximum number of binary search iteratios
>
> jlim=lonarr(2,nv)
> jlim(0,:)=0      ; array of lower limits
> jlim(1,:)=nu-1    ; array of upper limits
>
> iter=0
> repeat begin
>   jj=(jlim(0,:)+jlim(1,:))/2
>   ii=where(v ge u(jj),n) & if n gt 0 then jlim(1-inc,ii)=jj(ii)
>   ii=where(v lt u(jj),n) & if n gt 0 then jlim(inc,ii)=jj(ii)
>   jdif=max(jlim(1,:)-jlim(0,:))
>   if iter gt maxcomp then begin
>     print,maxcomp,iter, jdif
>     message,'binary search failed'
>   endif
>   iter=iter+1
> endrep until jdif eq 1

```

```
>
> w=v-v
> w(*)=(v-u(jlim(0,*)))/(u(jlim(0,*)+1)-u(jlim(0,*)))+jlim(0,* )
>
> return,w
> end
>
> --
>
> _____
> Paul Ricchiazzi
> Institute for Computational Earth System Science (ICESS)
> University of California, Santa Barbara
>
> email: paul@icess.ucsb.edu
> _____
>
> --
> -----
> Christian Marquardt
>
> Meteorologisches Institut der | tel.: (+49) 30-838-71170
> Freien Universitaet Berlin | fax.: (+49) 30-838-71167
> Carl-Heinrich-Becker-Weg 6-10 | email: marq@strat01.met.fu-berlin.de
> D-12165 Berlin |
> -----
```

Yes, more than a factor of 2 quicker using the same test6.pro described above!

David Crain

---