Subject: Re: accessing IDL internal (aka "built-in") routines Posted by Yngvar Larsen on Tue, 14 Jan 2014 12:32:12 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tuesday, 14 January 2014 00:52:24 UTC+1, Luke Domanski wrote:

- > On Tuesday, January 14, 2014 1:30:10 AM UTC+11, Haje Korth wrote:
- > But unfortunately your reasoning is not entirely applicable in this case. We have plenty of IDL licenses, just not enough:). The built-in parallel capabilities of IDL are insufficient for addressing the size of the problem being solved, and processing using any sensible number of IDL licenses would still consume an in-feasible amount of time.

I'm curious: since you claim to use several IDL licenses for one "parallel job", you are not using the "idl\_idlbridge"? If not: why? Or rather: what limitation of idl\_idlbridge is the bottleneck for you?

Yngvar