Subject: Re: -32768

Posted by Dick Jackson on Sat, 22 Mar 2014 20:20:36 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Friday, March 21, 2014 11:02:08 AM UTC-7, Yngvar Larsen wrote:

- > On Friday, 21 March 2014 00:44:17 UTC+1, Chris Torrence wrote:
- >> On Thursday, March 20, 2014 3:30:49 PM UTC-6, Yngvar Larsen wrote:

>

>> Jim P. is correct that the minus sign is really an operator. So it really is a runtime error to write "a = 32768s", regardless of whether you are then going to take the negative of it.

>

> >

> Fair enough. In that case, what is missing is a way to enter a literal negative number.

"-376768" _is_ a valid 16-bit signed integer after all! Not really a problem, since negation of a single number hardly is a big performance hit... Also, the OPs problem hasn't hit me at all during the 15+ years I've been using IDL. And there are at least 3 simple (bit silly) workarounds, already mentioned in this thread.

And for our further pedantry, if you really need to know which is most time efficient (at least on my MacBook, IDL 8.2):

IDL> tic & for i=1,1e7 do a=FIX(-32768) & toc % Time elapsed: 1.7954290 seconds.

IDL> tic & for i=1,1e7 do a=FIX('8000'X) & toc $\,$; Hex code depends on hardware "endian-ness" % Time elapsed: 1.4319592 seconds.

IDL> tic & for i=1,1e7 do a=not 32767S & toc % Time elapsed: 1.1942451 seconds.

IDL> tic & for i=1,1e7 do a=-32767S-1S & toc; The winner! % Time elapsed: 1.1435649 seconds.

Notice how much longer this takes compared to a simple, positive literal:

IDL> tic & for i=1,1e7 do a=32767S & toc % Time elapsed: 0.39454412 seconds.

Cheers, -Dick

Dick Jackson Software Consulting Inc. Victoria, BC, Canada --- www.d-jackson.com