Subject: Re: Multiplying very high with very low numbers: erfc * exp Posted by lecacheux.alain on Thu, 03 Apr 2014 13:21:31 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Thursday, April 3, 2014 11:35:10 AM UTC+2, tho.s...@gmail.com wrote:
> Hello.
>
> for my MCMC fitting program, I need to evaluate functions of the form (Gaussian with a one
sided exponential tail towards lower x-values):
>
>
>
  f(a,b,c,d) * erfc(g(a,b,c,d)) * exp(h(a,b,c,d)) := X * Y * Z = F
>
>
>
>
  where f,g and h are certain functions of the parameters a,b,c and d.
>
>
  It almost always happens that the numbers of these three factors are like:
>
>
  F = X * Y * Z = 1e2 * 1e-999 * 1e1000 = 1e3
>
>
> Which is a big problem since 1e-999 is represented as 0 and 1e1000 is represented as infinity,
thus the result being 0, infinity or nan, but definetly not 1e3.
> As a work-around, I went to log-space such that:
>
>
  F = \exp(\ln(F)) = \exp(\ln(X * Y * Z)) = \exp(\ln(X) + \ln(Y) + \ln(Z)) =
>
   = \exp(\ln(f(a,b,c,d)) + \ln(erfc(g(a,b,c,d))) + \ln(exp(h(a,b,c,d)))) :=
>
>
                             W
                                               Ε
                                                       )
   := exp(
               Q
>
>
>
>
> Q and E are no problem to evaluate since f() is just a rational function and ln(exp(h())) is just
h().
>
> However, W = ln(erfc(q())) contains the same problem as above. If q() is far negative from 0,
erfc(g()) is just 2 (and not e.g. 2 - 1e-99). If g() is far positive from 0, erfc(g()) is just 0, returning W
```

```
as -Inf (as erfc(g()) should actually be something like 1e-99).
>
>
> Now, I looked up several representations of the erfc() function in order to build something like a
Inerfc - function. I have chosen the erfcc() function in Numerical recipes, Chapter 6, Special
Functions (around page 214) which is also given in Wikipedia at
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Error_function#Numerical_approx imation
> This approximation has two major advantages:
>
> 1) It is represented as proprotional to an exponential function, for which the In can easily be
calculated.
> 2) The fractional error is "everywhere less than 1.2e-7".
>
>
> Including all these work-arounds, F = X * Y * Z can be calculated to a good enough precision
(for me).
>
>
> However (again), as you might already think of, it takes a while to calculate F. In a MCMC run,
this function has to be evaluated over and over again. If there is more than one such a function
present in my data (say N), I need to fit, i.e. evaluate something like:
>
>
>
 sum(F_i, i=0..N)
>
>
>
>
  over and over again (typically N = 20..30).
>
>
>
>
> To put it in a nutshell:
>
  I am looking for a speed-up to calculate W = In(erfc(g(a,b,c,d))).
>
>
  I know that I can calculate the erfc - function by:
>
> erfc(x) = 1 - sgn(x) * igamma(0.5,x^2)
>
 where igamma is the incomplete gamma-function.
>
 Unfortunately, there is no LNIGAMMA - function in IDL, as for the complete gamma-function
```

(LNGAMMA). As this does not necessarily have to work good then because of the "1 - ". > > > I hope you understand the problem and are not overwhelmed by this wall of text. > I appreciate any suggestions. > > > > > Cheers, > Thomas

I am afraid that IDL will not be able to help you without some reformulation of your problem. In order to avoid underflow and overflow when computing each of your Y and Z functions, you have to find a derived or approximated expression for their product, which indeed is finite and of order about 10.

You might for instance consider Rational Chebyshev approximations of X*Y, which are often used for computing the "erfcx" function (i.e. $\exp(x^2)$ erfc(x)), whose shape is not far from the one you are dealing with.

Hoping this can help you.

alx.