Subject: Re: CATCH inside a FOR loop and out?
Posted by chris_torrence@NOSPAM on Thu, 17 Apr 2014 22:06:41 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thursday, April 17, 2014 2:56:31 PM UTC-6, Craig Markwardt wrote: > On Thursday, April 17, 2014 4:27:53 PM UTC-4, Mike Galloy wrote:
> CATCH is a routine, not a keyword currently. I, personally, don't think
> it would be so bad to add a keyword or two for nice exception handling. >
> >
> True, but it's a system routine that everybody knows to avoid for their own routines already.>
>
Also, if you add something, I think it should have an optional "finally"
>> clause as well. >
> > Insverting derected the purpose of EINIALLY, when you can just have cleanup code outside the
> I never understood the purpose of FINALLY, when you can just have cleanup code outside the exception handling clauses. Is it more than a visual cue?
> >
> Craig
At least in Java, the Finally code is guaranteed to run, even if your "try" or "catch" block does a return from the routineC