

---

Subject: FFT and Parseval

Posted by [baptiste.cecconi](#) on Mon, 28 Apr 2014 10:58:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear IDL guys,

I recently tried to check the conservation of energy (Parseval's theorem) through the IDL implementation of FFT, and I came to a somewhat surprising result.

Here a sample code that shows my point:

-----

```
N=1000
```

```
x = randomn(0,N) ; random series of data with 1000 elements
```

```
fft0 = fft(x,-1) ; fourier transform (to freq domain) of x
```

```
print,total(x^2.) ; total energy of the signal in time domain
```

```
print,total(abs(fft0)^2.)/N; total energy of the signal in freq domain (according to Parseval's theorem)
```

```
fft1 = fft(x,1) ; inverse fourier transform (freq to time domain) of x
```

```
print,total(abs(fft1)^2.)/N; total energy of the signal in freq domain (using inverse fft)
```

-----

From this little code, it is clear that

(1)  $\text{total}(x^2.) = \text{total}(\text{abs}(\text{fft0})^2.) * N$

(2)  $\text{total}(x^2.) = \text{total}(\text{abs}(\text{fft1})^2.) / N$

While quation (2) is fully consistent with Parseval's equation, (1) is not, by a  $N^2$  factor.

In the IDL documentation, it is stated that "A normalization factor of  $1/N$ , where  $N$  is the number of points, is applied during the forward transform." However, I'm not sure this solves anything here.

I have some difficulties to convince myself that the direct FFT transform is invoked with a negative "direction" parameter (as stated in IDL documentation).

Parseval theorem is recalled here:

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parseval's\\_theorem](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parseval's_theorem) (see DFT equation)

---