
Subject: Re: FFT and Parseval

Posted by [Moritz Fischer](#) on Mon, 28 Apr 2014 11:30:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This all about the conventions used when defining the Fourier transform:

- sometimes it's multiplied by a factor of $1/n$ in the forward transformation, and not in the inverse (as in the IDL forward transformation)
- sometimes it's scaled in the inverse transformation (as in the wikipedia definition of the DFT following the link you gave)
- and I as a mathematician prefer the scaling by $1/\sqrt{n}$ in both forward and inverse transformation, because a) its symmetric and b) there won't be a factor in the formulation of the *conservation* (not scaling ...) of energy.

In either of the above cases you get

```
x = FFT( FFT(x,-1) ,1)
```

but comparing the energies of time and spectrum you have to compensate the $1/\sqrt{n}$ of the applied $1/n$ scaling factor, and your (1) reads:

$$\text{total}(\text{abs}(x)^2) = \text{total}(\text{abs}(\text{fft0} * \sqrt{N})^2)$$

I guess the scaling convention in IDL is chosen for performance reasons.

And note that the direction parameter is the sign of the argument of $\exp(\cdot)$, i.e. negative for forward transformation, by most conventions.

Am 28.04.2014 12:58, schrieb baptiste.cecconi@obspm.fr:

> Dear IDL guys,

>

> I recently tried to check the conservation of energy (Parseval's
> theorem) through the IDL implementation of FFT, and I came to a
> somewhat surprising result.

>

> Here a sample code that shows my point:

>

> -----

>

> N=1000 x = randomn(0,N) ; random series of data with 1000 elements
> fft0 = fft(x,-1) ; fourier transform (to freq domain) of x

>

> print,total(x^2) ; total energy of the signal in time domain
> print,total(abs(fft0)^2)/N; total energy of the signal in freq
> domain (according to Parseval's theorem)

>

> fft1 = fft(x,1) ; inverse fourier transform (freq to time domain) of
> x print,total(abs(fft1)^2)/N; total energy of the signal in freq

> domain (using inverse fft)
>
> -----
>
> From this little code, it is clear that
>
> (1) $\text{total}(x^2) = \text{total}(\text{abs}(\text{fft0})^2) * N$ (2) $\text{total}(x^2) =$
> $\text{total}(\text{abs}(\text{fft1})^2) / N$
>
> While quation (2) is fully consistent with Parseval's equation, (1)
> is not, by a N^2 factor. In the IDL documentation, it is stated that
> "A normalization factor of $1/N$, where N is the number of points, is
> applied during the forward transform." However, I'm not sure this
> solves anything here.
>
> I have some difficulties to convince myself that the direct FFT
> transform is invoked with a negative "direction" parameter (as stated
> in IDL documentation).
>
> Parseval theorem is recalled here:
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parseval's_theorem (see DFT equation)
>
