

---

Subject: Re: Releasing temporary variables created with IDL\_MakeTempArray()  
Posted by [chris\\_torrence@NOSPAM](mailto:chris_torrence@NOSPAM) on Thu, 02 Apr 2015 01:46:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wednesday, April 1, 2015 at 6:38:58 PM UTC-6, David Grier wrote:

- > Following up on my earlier post, I have some additional insights
- > and a couple of questions for folks who really understand IDL internals.
- >
- > As originally written, my program allocated a steady stream of temporary variables
- > using IDL\_MakeTempArray() at a rate of 30 allocations per second. After about 20 minutes,
- > the whole system would lock up hard (no mouse or keyboard, no ssh). This behavior
- > was reproducible.
- >
- > Just doing the math suggests that lock-ups occur after  $2^{15}$  allocations.
- >
- > If, for the sake of argument, the number of allocated temporary variables were
- > maintained internally with a signed short integer, failures might arise when that
- > counter rolls over.
- >
- > Attempting to release the temporary variable with methods 1 and 2 as I originally
- > proposed does not fix the problem. I even tried passing the temporary
- > array back to C to delete the variable (using IDL\_DelTemp) , but the variable no
- > longer has its "temporary" flag set.
- >
- > What works is to release the variable explicitly at the end of the same
- > C routine that creates it, just like in the documentation and example code.
- > This isn't useful, however, because I want to work with the variable in IDL.
- >
- > This leaves me with a question: What is the "correct" way to allocate a temporary
- > variable in C, pass the variable back to IDL, and then free the variable's resources
- > in IDL?
- >
- > Also, am I right about the upper limit on the number of temporary variables that can
- > be allocated in IDL?
- >
- > All the best,
- >
- > David
- >
- > P.S. I've fixed my immediate problem by allocating my temporary variable
- > just once and feeding it back to my C library for updating (30 times per second).
- > The C code is messier, but seems to run reliably.

Hi David,

I'm at home right now, so I can't check the C code to see if there is a hard limit on the # of temporary variables, but I'm a bit confused as to how you could get into this situation.

Normally, if you write a C routine for IDL, at the end of the routine, you return your result as a temporary variable (created say using `IDL_MakeTempArray`, `MakeTempVector`, `Gettmp`, etc.). As soon as you pass the variable back to IDL, you no longer own it, and you should never need to `Deltmp` it yourself. If your IDL code takes that function result and assigns it to a new variable, then that new variable will no longer be a temporary, and the temp will be immediately returned to the pool (the actual array data will get handed off to the new variable).

So, if your code looks like:

```
result = MyCFunction(...)
```

Then `result` is now a named variable containing the array data, and your temporary is long gone.

Doing this in a loop should work "forever". So, your code must look somewhat different?

-Chris

---