Subject: Re: IDL Save Object Resoration Posted by Michael Galloy on Tue, 21 Apr 2015 20:45:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On 4/21/15 7:56 AM, David B wrote:
> On Tuesday, April 21, 2015 at 2:01:58 PM UTC+1, Jim P wrote:
>> On Tuesday, April 21, 2015 at 5:17:04 AM UTC-6, David B wrote:
>>> Suppose I create a variable:
>>>
>>> ------; Create arrays a =
>>> [1,2,3,4,5,6] b =[7,8,9,10] c = INDGEN(10,10)
>>>
>>> ;Save these arrays normally save, a, b, c, filename = 'file.sav'
>>>
>>> ;Run a reset .reset
>>>
>>> :Restore these variables, but into an object sobj=
>>> OBJ_NEW('IDL_Savefile', 'file.sav')
>>>
>>> ;Extract names names = sObj->Names()
>>>
>>> ;Print Print, names
>>>
>>> ------
>>> The problem is that I need the variables to be named something
>>> differently, but I cannot extract the variables into a new name.
>>> Non of my objects are heap variables, just standard variables.
>>>
>>> For example, following this:
     http://www.exelisvis.com/docs/idl_savefile__restore.html#obj
ects_misc_904195448_1034949
>>>
>>>
One can restore Pointers and Objects into a new object/pointer, so you
can automatically rename your variables on the fly with the line:
>>>
>>> ------; Restore the heap
>>> variable, associating it with a new regular; variable. Note that
>>> ptrName is (in this case) a one-element array. sObj->Restore,
>>> ptrName[0], /POINTER_HEAPVAR, NEW_HEAPVAR=myNewPtr
>>> ------
>>>
>>> I have an almost solid reason for doing this in my case. What I
>>> am therefore saying is that I want for example (but I cannot do
>>> this, because 'new variable' is not an option in the restore
```

```
>>> method!)
>>>
>>> FOR i = 0, n_elements(names)-1, 1 DO BEGIN
>>> sobj->Restore, names[i], new_variable = 'new_'+names[i]
>>>
>>> ENDFOR
>>>
>>> SO I end up with the following as a result:
>>>
>>> new_a = a new_b = b new_c = c
>>>
>>> Where I can restore a simple variable, like an array, into a new
>>> name. I may be missing the point here but I cannot think of a way
>>> to do this in a strait forward way, and the 'EXECUTE' command is
>>> out of the question for these objects.
>>> Clearly I am being stupid, but I really am stuck. Also, I know
>>> this method does not work as:
>>> new = obj->Restore, names[i], new variable = 'new '+names[i]
>>> otherwise, my problem would be solved easily. Can anyone offer
>>> insight into this and point out my mistake?
>>> Thanks much
>>>
>>> David
>>
>> Why not wrap your Restore call in a procedure and use the names you
>> want as parameter or keyword arguments?
>>
   pro myrestore, sObj, a = a, b = b, ... sobj->restore, a, ... end
>>
>> pro mymain sobj = idl_savefile(...) myrestore, sobj, a = new_a, b =
  new_b, ... help, new_a, new_b, ... end
>>
>> If you're going to generate the new names on the fly, you might
   want to consider using a hash instead.
>>
>> Jim P.
> I THINK that is also a valid approach too! A further possibility is
> such that:
>
  -----: Recover the names of the
> objects name = sObj->Names()
>
```

> The Hash method is also a good approach from Michael and works fine!

Jim's method is essentially what I am doing, just returning one variable at a time instead of using keywords. But the hash actually seems like a pretty good way to do it if you have multiple values that you want back at once. I think I will add an /ALL keyword that puts them all in a hash to return.

-Mike