Subject: Re: Is there a better way?
Posted by William Clodius on Tue, 10 Jun 1997 07:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## Kelly Dean wrote:

Is there a better way to locate a value in a table (or array)? I would
like to come in with an array of radaince values and convert them to
temperatures. The method below only takes the one number (rad) then
search for the best match in the table (or array).
If you can thing of a better method, send me a note.

The best routine depends on the detailed statistics of your data, i.e. it is often appropriate to cache the last point and search outwards. The following takes of the order log base 2 of 200 operations, i.e., 8 \* a small factor, while your method takes on the order of 200 operations, unless the data always lies at small values.

```
lbound= 0
ubound = 199
guess = 100
while ubound - lbound gt 1 do begin
   IF rad GT WVRADarr(guess) then $
    lbound = guess $
   else ubound = guess
   guess = (ubound + lbound) / 2L
endwhile
TempK = WVTEMParr(lbound)
```

The following should be a vectorizable version of the same idea

```
lbound = Lonarr(N_elements(rad))
ubound = lbound + 199
guess = lbound + 100
n_iterate = 9
for i=0, n_iterate-1 do begin
   ndx = where(rad GT WVRADarr(guess), count)
   if count gt 0 then lbound(ndx) = guess(ndx)
   ndx = where(rad LE WVRADarr(guess), count)
   if count gt 0 then ubound(ndx) = guess(ndx)
   guess = (ubound + lbound) / 2L
endfor
```

TempK = WVTEMParr(lbound)

Mind you I haven't checked the following for boundary conditions, in particular it might be that n\_iterate should be 8 or lbound might be off

by 1 from what you want.

--

William B. Clodius Phone: (505)-665-9370

Los Alamos Nat. Lab., NIS-2 FAX: (505)-667-3815 PO Box 1663, MS-C323 Group office: (505)-667-5776 Los Alamos, NM 87545 Email: wclodius@lanl.gov