
Subject: Re: Finding NaNs in arrays - Strange outcome
Posted by [wlandsman](#) on Sat, 05 Dec 2015 21:24:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Saturday, December 5, 2015 at 7:02:49 AM UTC-5, dmfl...@gmail.com wrote:

> Hello

>

> I have a 3D array A (A FLOAT = Array[480, 480, 160]). I wanted to check for NaNs so I had split one 2D array as follows:

>

> A1 = total(A[0:79, 0:79,25])

> A2 = total(A[80:159,80:159,25])

You are not "splitting" the array, but selecting disjoint square regions. For example, suppose an NaN value is present in the pixel [75,100,25]. This pixel is not included in either A1 or A2. But it will be included in your total

```
print, 'total',total(A[*,*],25)
```

so it is very plausible that total(A[*,*],25) gives an NaN while A1+A2+A3+A4+A5+A6 does not.

--Wayne
