
Subject: Re: "Unable to find a valid Python installation" error, a python__define error ?

Posted by on Fri, 14 Oct 2016 07:02:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Le jeudi 13 octobre 2016 17:37:15 UTC+2, Dick Jackson a écrit :

> On Thursday, 13 October 2016 08:08:21 UTC-7, Kallisthène wrote:

>> Dear Chris,

>>

>> Thanks for updating the code, it works.

>> Unfortunately I needed to update the scipy svd function and thus tried your "recommended" solution of installing Anaconda.

>> Since Anaconda is now MKL-compiled for free it is indeed a good solution. BUT, Anaconda switched to Python 3.5 a year ago, and you still don't provide a idl_python35.dll for this new python flavor in your IDL install.

>>

>> Thus, unless I am mistaking, all users trying to install your recommended solution will always fail !

>>

>> Hope you'll find time to create one, as well as compiling IDL against MKL instead of providing third-party solution to your speed impediment.

>>

>> Best regards

>

> Hi Kallisthène,

>

> It's true that Anaconda installs Python 3.5 by default, but I've managed to get a new Anaconda (or Miniconda) installation to work by having it create another "environment" with Python 3.4, which works well with IDL 8.5.1. Use this command:

>

> conda create -n py34 python=3.4

>

> This will create a whole Python installation under ...Anaconda\envs\py34, and you'll need to make sure that environment variables are set correctly (your minutiae may vary):

>

> set PATH=C:\Anaconda\envs\py34;C:\Program Files\Exelis\IDL85\bin\bin.x86_64;%PATH%

> set PYTHONPATH=C:\Program Files\Exelis\IDL85\bin\bin.x86_64;C:\Program Files\Exelis\IDL85\lib\bridges

> set PYTHONHOME=C:\Anaconda\envs\py34

>

> That last one, PYTHONHOME, is not described in IDL docs for Windows, but I can assure you that on one installation I had, if that was not set, creating the Python() object would load the DLL, but any request to Python would crash IDL. PYTHONHOME was required. I am told by a reliable Exelis source that "you aren't supposed to need to do that, at least on Windows with Anaconda" so perhaps in a future release that won't be needed.

>

> Cheers,

> -Dick

>
> Dick Jackson Software Consulting Inc.
> Victoria, BC, Canada --- <http://www.d-jackson.com>

Thank you for the information, I also tried to reverse to an older instance of Anaconda, but since I wanted to have the 0.18 scipy version in order to solve a SVD discrepancy, I simply installed WinPython 3.4 which is still maintained with up to date python library.
In this case I didn't need to set a PYTHONHOME.

Thanks again

Best
