

---

Subject: Re: how to make plot.pro to club with my existing routine ?

Posted by [dcleuclidwl](#) on Wed, 23 Nov 2016 17:38:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wednesday, November 23, 2016 at 11:47:09 AM UTC-5, Craig Markwardt wrote:

> On Tuesday, November 22, 2016 at 9:43:55 AM UTC-5, Cibi Raj wrote:

>> On Friday, 18 November 2016 16:33:06 UTC+1, Craig Markwardt wrote:

>>> On Thursday, November 17, 2016 at 11:50:55 AM UTC-5, Cibi Raj wrote:

>>>> But why could not I manually see through the search engine whether plot.pro is there or not ? I did it and could not find plot.pro anywhere in the search results.

>>>

>>> It depends where you searched. Did you search the IDL install location?

>>

>> I performed a general search, but now I got a different way to solve the problem.

>>

>> I have another question. Is it normally okay in scientific publications to edit the scales and title etc using an image editor ? or its seen as cheating ?

>

> Adding annotations to a plot is usually considered good form. Nobody reading the paper is going to care if the original that came out of some software package didn't have the right color font. That being said, you have to be careful to accurately represent what you are claiming in the paper.

>

> CM

I am sufficiently old that when I was starting out in research, computer generated plots were considered too ugly to put directly in a journal. We would give our ugly plot to an artist who would hand draw all the labels.

--Wayne

---