
Subject: Re: MPFITFUN .TIED

Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Fri, 25 Aug 2017 14:12:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tuesday, August 22, 2017 at 4:28:58 AM UTC-4, tackm...@gmail.com wrote:

> Op maandag 21 augustus 2017 12:18:15 UTC+2 schreef Markus Schmassmann:

>> On 08/18/2017 02:47 PM, xxx@gmail.com wrote:

>>> I'm having some issues using fitting constraints using the MPFITFUN

>>> package in IDL.

>>>

>>> Basically, I have several parameters that I'm fitting, some of which

>>> are constrained to a single parameter (P[0]) as a factor of that

>>> parameter. As such, I set parinfo[*].tied so that, after printing,

>>> they read as follows: '0.662104 * P[0]' '0.245035 * P[0]' ...

>>>

>>> After fitting I read out the parameters, and even though P[0] has an

>>> appropriate value, it appears the constrained parameters have

>>> obtained the values (in this case) 0.66210400 0.24503500 (and P[0] is

>>> not 1., it is somewhere around 475 for my case) As such, the

>>> constraint does not seem to work for me. It just seems to return the

>>> factor with which I wanted to multiply P[0], but does not actually

>>> multiply it.

>>>

>>> Does anyone have an idea how to resolve this, or why it does not seem

>>> to work? Am I somehow using the wrong syntax for the .TIED keyword

>>> etc.? Any help is welcome. Many thanks!

>>

>> I haven't used MPFITFUN before, but from reading the code and your

>> problem description I have a few guesses what could have gone wrong.

>>

>> Any chance you have the TIED assigned to the wrong parameters?

>> e.g. parinfo[0].tied='0.662104 * P[0]'

>>

>> Any chance you use in the TIED definition a parameter with a higher number?

>> e.g. parinfo[1].tied='0.245035 * P[2]'

>>

>> Any chance that the result is correct except for the tied parameters?

>> If you use the other parameters to calculate the tied ones and then use

>> the forward function are you at a minimum?

>> You might have to get the derivatives (numerical or analytical) to verify.

>>

>> If none of that helps, write here a complete minimal working example of

>> the problem. Hopefully Craig has time to look into it.

>>

>> Good Luck, Markus

>

> Hi Markus,

>

> Many thanks for the feedback. However, turns out my problem was a much more basic one. I simply defined the syntax of the TIED string wrong.

>

> I defined it basically as follows: " '0.662104 * P[0]' ", so that after printing it would indeed say '0.662104 * P[0]', as I understood it from the manual.

> However, I should have just defined it as a string as follows: '0.662104 * P[0]' so that after printing it writes 0.662104 * P[0] (without the '). It's the presence of these quotation marks that somehow screwed up the MPFIT code (although I would've expected an error message in that case)

>

> So all's fine in the end :) Thanks for the help!

Glad you found your answer. MPFIT just EXECUTE()'s your .TIED expression something like this.

```
EXECUTE('P[1] = '+PARINFO[1].TIED)
```

So your tied expression should be an actual arithmetic expression. If you make it into a string, then IDL will happily try to coerce the string into a number. Try doing `DOUBLE("0.662104")` and you will get an answer!

Craig
