Subject: Re: Object Funkiness

Posted by J.D. Smith on Thu, 31 Jul 1997 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
J.D. Smith wrote:
  OK OOP experts. Here's a conundrum...
>
 here's a procedure to test dynamic binding of methods in IDL...
>
> pro testdb
    a=obj new('eeke','a')
>
    b=obj_new('eeke','b')
>
    list=[a,b]
>
    ran=fix(randomu(sd) ge .5)
>
    list[ran]->Message, ran, 1-ran
>
    obi destroy,list
    return
>
> end
  and the file eek__define.pro contains:
 pro eeke::Message, ran, ran2
    print, self.val, 'random: ',ran, ran2
>
    return
> end
> function eeke::Init,val
    self.val=val
    return,1
>
> end
> pro eeke__define
    struct={eeke,val:"}
    return
  end
  When I run it I get
> IDL> testdb
>
    list[ran]->Message, ran, 1-ran
>
  % MESSAGE: Incorrect number of arguments.
   At: /u/jdsmith/idl/pro/mylib/testdb.pro, Line 6
> % Compiled module: TESTDB.
> % Attempt to call undefined procedure/function: 'TESTDB'.
> % Execution halted at: $MAIN$
> If I change the name of Message to something else, or take away the
```

- > arguments to message in both the method definition and the call, the
- > error disappears. Is 'message' somehow different than other names? I
- > thought it could be shadowing the idl built-in message, and screwing up
- > the dynamic binding, but I've tried tons of other built-in names (like
- > 'print', 'xmanager', etc.) with nary a problem. Perhaps 'Message' is
- > some IDL internal method for all objects... anyway it is very
- > troublesome, and I'd like to figure out the cause. Any help would be
- > greatly appreciated.

> JD

> P.S. Except for this problem, dynamic binding seems to work well.

I've found that this isn't limited to dynamic binding. Indeed, if you just try:

a=obj_new('eek','aval') and then, e.g.:

a->Message,1,0

you will still get the error. I've alerted the tech support crew at RSI. At least a list of which method names we're not allowed to use would be good. But, really, this bug needs resolution.

JD