
Subject: Re: Common trouble
Posted by [davidf](#) on Wed, 18 Aug 1999 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kristian Kjaer (kristian.kjaer@risoe.dk) writes:

I should really leave this question to the common block experts... :-)

> Q1: How do you delete a common block (other than by exiting IDL)?

You can't. Once declared, it's there forever. I hear this may be changing in IDL 5.3.

> Q3: Should commons be avoided altogether in IDL ?

I'll defer. Let's just say they are WAY overused in widget programs, in my humble opinion. But there is no question they are useful in certain--I think limited--situations.

> Q2: Why does this not work (got the same with IDL 5.1 on linux):

One of the rules of common block usage is that common block variables cannot be arguments of procedures:

IDL> ? common blocks

From the error messages you are getting, I suspect the parameters are somehow marked as a special "type" when they come into the procedure that is different from the type you expect them to be when you load them into common. But this is pure speculation.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting
Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: Common trouble

In article <37BA9888.2BD5D060@risoe.dk>,

Kristian Kjaer <kristian.kjaer@risoe.dk> writes:

> Q1: How do you delete a common block (other than by exiting IDL)?
by switching off the computer ;-) Seriously: there is no other way!!!

>

> Q3: Should commons be avoided altogether in IDL ?

there has been a thread about this about two months ago. You might want to check www.deja.com - we shouldn't start this discussion again ...

>

> Q2: Why does this not work (got the same with IDL 5.1 on linux):

> IDL> .r testcomn

>

> common mycomblock,p,q,r

> ^

> % P is already defined with a conflicting definition.

> At: c:\tasclib.idl\special\testcomn.pro, Line 2

>

>

> ; begin code -----

> pro testcomn,p,q,r

> common mycomblock,p,q,r

> return

> end

> ; end code -----

>

when idl first encounters a common block definition, it reserves the variable names for the common block if possible. In your case this is not doable, because you used the same names as procedure arguments (i guess you want to initialize them). here is a general outline how you can do this:

```
pro testcomn,pp,qq,rr
```

```
common mycomnblock,p,q,r ; note that the variables are undefined here!
```

```
if (n_elements(pp) gt 0 and (n_elements(p) eq 0) then $
```

```
  p = pp $
```

```
else $
```

```
  message,'P cannot be initialized!'
```

```
if (n_elements(qq) gt 0 and (n_elements(q) eq 0) then $
```

```
  q = qq $
```

```
else $
```

```
  message,'Q cannot be initialized!'
```

```
if (n_elements(rr) gt 0 and (n_elements(r) eq 0) then $
```

