Subject: simple question about bytes
Posted by Gerhard D. Rappold on Thu, 02 Sep 1999 07:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

I want to check if a line in an ascii file starts with a number or character. My idea was to read the first character and convert it to "byte" and check if its in or out the range of '48b' and '58b'. The code example is:

```
; check for header
header="
readf, lun, header
firstchar=strmid(header,0,1)
first_byte=byte(firstchar)

no_head=0
head_index=0
WHILE ((first_byte LT 48b) AND (first_byte GT 57b)) DO BEGIN
readf, lun, header ; reads next line
head_index=head_index+1 ; counts header lines
no_header =head_index
ENDWHILE
```

it compiles fine but on runtime I get the errormessage:

Expression must be a scalar in this context: <BYTE Array(1)>

How can I change the variable 'first_byte' from byte array to a byte scalar?

Or any other hint for a solution would be great!

Thank's

Gerhard

Subject: Re: simple question about bytes Posted by R.Bauer on Fri, 03 Sep 1999 07:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
rappold wrote:
```

```
> Hi,
```

```
> I want to check if a line in an ascii file starts with a number or
> character. My idea was to read the first character and convert it to
> "byte" and check if its in or out the range of '48b' and '58b'. The code
> example is:
>
     : check for header
>
    header="
>
    readf, lun, header
>
    firstchar=strmid(header,0,1)
>
    first byte=byte(firstchar)
>
>
    no head=0
    head index=0
>
    WHILE ((first_byte LT 48b) AND (first_byte GT 57b)) DO BEGIN
>
        readf, lun, header
                             ; reads next line
>
        head index=head index+1 : counts header lines
>
        no header =head index
>
    ENDWHILE
You have to use the first index type e.g.
  WHILE ((first_byte[0] LT 48b) AND (first_byte[0] GT 57b)) DO BEGIN
you can declare header as bytarr with one element
  header=bytarr(1)
  readf, lun, header
  first byte=header[0]
```

R.Bauer

Subject: Re: simple question Posted by promashkin on Thu, 18 May 2000 07:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Isn't breaking out of DO loops the same as using conditional loops? FOR / DO have a particular purpose, to execute a certain number of times. For repeating under a condition, WHILE and REPEAT are provided, I think. Cheers, Pavel

Paul van Delst wrote:

>

> Craig Markwardt wrote:

>>

```
>> "richard hilton" <rdh5@dmu.ac.uk> writes:
>>
>>> This probably sounds like a stupid question but does anybody know of an IDL
>>> equivilent to the CONTINUE command in C/C++? Your help would be much
>>> appreciated.
>>
>> I've wished for an equivalent to continue and break, but have never
>> found it. You will have to do use GOTO explicitly.
>>
>> for i = 0, n-1 do begin
   if val(i) EQ 0 then goto, NEXT_VAL ;; equivalent to continue
>>
    compute val, val(i)
    if val(i) LT 0 then goto, DONE_VAL ;; equivalent to break
>>
    NEXT_VAL:
>>
>> endfor
>> DONE VAL:O
```

Subject: Re: simple question
Posted by Paul van Delst on Thu, 18 May 2000 07:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Craig Markwardt wrote:
```

> "richard hilton" <rdh5@dmu.ac.uk> writes: >> This probably sounds like a stupid question but does anybody know of an IDL >> equivilent to the CONTINUE command in C/C++? Your help would be much >> appreciated. > I've wished for an equivalent to continue and break, but have never > found it. You will have to do use GOTO explicitly. > > for i = 0, n-1 do begin if val(i) EQ 0 then goto, NEXT VAL ;; equivalent to continue compute_val, val(i) if val(i) LT 0 then goto, DONE VAL :: equivalent to break > **NEXT VAL:** > endfor > DONE_VAL:

If there's one thing I loathe, it's GOTO'ing _out_ of DO/IF loops/constructs. I, too, wish IDL would introduce statements such as the CYCLE (equiv to CONTINUE) and EXIT (equiv to BREAK) statements in F90/F95. Sure makes logic in DO loops and IF constructs supa-easy to follow (although I have to admit the example above is clear and easy to follow).

How would one begin the process of doing this, i.e. getting RSI to introduce new control transfer statements such as CYCLE and BREAK? I can't see that it would be a terribly difficult thing to do but I don't write compiler type stuff.

paulv

Ph: (301) 763-8000 x7274 Paul van Delst CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP Fax: (301) 763-8545

Rm.202, 5200 Auth Rd. Email: pvandelst@ncep.noaa.gov

Camp Springs MD 20746

Subject: Re: simple question

Posted by Craig Markwardt on Thu, 18 May 2000 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"richard hilton" <rdh5@dmu.ac.uk> writes:

- > This probably sounds like a stupid question but does anybody know of an IDL
- > equivilent to the CONTINUE command in C/C++? Your help would be much
- > appreciated.

I've wished for an equivalent to continue and break, but have never found it. You will have to do use GOTO explicitly.

```
for i = 0, n-1 do begin
if val(i) EQ 0 then goto, NEXT_VAL ;; equivalent to continue
compute_val, val(i)
if val(i) LT 0 then goto, DONE VAL :: equivalent to break
NEXT VAL:
endfor
DONE VAL:
Craig
Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response
   ______
```

Subject: Re: simple question

Posted by Paul van Delst on Fri, 19 May 2000 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Pavel Romashkin wrote:

>

- > Isn't breaking out of DO loops the same as using conditional loops? FOR
- > / DO have a particular purpose, to execute a certain number of times.
- > For repeating under a condition, WHILE and REPEAT are provided, I think.

I agree. But both WHILE and REPEAT exit the conditional loop once a specified condition occurs. Sometimes, you don't want to exit the loop, just skip the current cycle and continue. The existence of WHILE and REPEAT certainly limit the need for a BREAK type of statement, but not a CONTINUE (or CYCLE). In addition, the WHILE and REPEAT don't provide you with the current loop index (i.e. you have to do your own i = i + 1 within the loop). I guess one's preference for using WHILE/REPEATs or FOR loops with CONTINUE/BREAKs stems non-trivially from personal aesthetic.

paulv

--

Paul van Delst Ph: (301) 763-8000 x7274 CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP Fax: (301) 763-8545

Rm.202, 5200 Auth Rd. Email: pvandelst@ncep.noaa.gov

Camp Springs MD 20746