
Subject: Re: Best,Fastest platform for IDL 5.2 (NT or UNIX)

Posted by [htonishi](#) on Mon, 13 Sep 1999 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is not quite the comparison you wanted but perhaps adds some insight. I run IDL 5.2.1 on a 400 Mhz PII with 256 Mb and NT4 SP5, IDL 5.2.1 on an old SGI XZ with an R4400 at 140 Mhz (???), and IDL 5.1 on a 195Mhz O2 R10000. The only comparison that I have is for a monte carlo signal processing program that uses double precision and does NOT use a lot of memory. Surprisingly the two SGIs perform almost identically. I think this is because 5.1 is not built to use the higher performance floating point libraries. The PII runs at least 2x as fast as the SGIs.

If you can wait a few months I would suggest a dual PIII at possibly 700 Mhz with the new 133 Mhz chipset from Intel and either NT or linux. I don't know if the dual PIII will get you more performance (I doubt it) but it will certainly help if you want to run your IDL program simultaneously with something else.

* Sent from RemarQ <http://www.remarq.com> The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

Subject: Re: Best,Fastest platform for IDL 5.2 (NT or UNIX)

Posted by [davidf](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 1999 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Richard Tyc (richt@sbrc.umanitoba.ca) writes:

- > Any benefit in moving into a multi-CPU system (NT or IRIX) for IDL ?
- > does it even make use of multi-processor for rendering etc. ?

I'm told that because of the lack of support in OpenGL for volume rendering that all object volume rendering in IDL is done in software. And this software has been written so that it can take advantage of up to 8 processors. The key is to use the HINTS keyword on the volume object to select multi-processor support.

I've no suggestion for machines for you. But I'll tell you what, I'm awfully sorry I sold that Apple stock last year. :-(

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: Best, Fastest platform for IDL 5.2 (NT or UNIX)

Posted by [rivers](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 1999 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <37DD70F9.D76719BB@sbr.umanitoba.ca>, Richard Tyc
<richt@sbr.umanitoba.ca> writes:

> To add to the another similar post, I would like to know from the
> experts what system they would buy if they had \$10K - \$30K (speed being
> an important factor) ?
>
> We are running on a SGI O2 R5K, 576Mb RAM and it is pathetically
> slow!! Our project has recently received some infusion of capital and I
> would like to ask what hardware platform would be ideal ? I am not
> opposed to switching over to NT.
>
> The application makes heavy use of object graphics, volume rendering
> with cutting planes etc. (eg. render volumes of 512x512x100 with
> real-time motion updates using the trackball object)
>
> I was thinking of moving up to a SGI Octane with the R12K CPU (or
> multiple CPU).
> Any performance comparisons with IDL on the new Pentium III 600 MHz
> machines vs. UNIX workstations ?

I am using a Dell Precision 610 workstation with 1GB of RAM, 36 GB of RAID 0
disk, and dual 450 MHz processors. I am very pleased with the system. Its
cost today (with 550 MHz processors) is about \$8,000.

My application is 3-D tomography data processing and visualization. Having 2
processors helps in 2 ways:

- I can be running one IDL session doing compute-intensive reconstructions on
one processor while doing interactive work on the other.
- The IDL volume rendering object does use both processors

1 GB of RAM is essential for what I am doing, in fact I still need more memory

at times, but Windows has a limit of 1 GB of virtual memory per process. The memory fragmentation problems often seen in IDL under Unix are much less serious under Windows. I can create and delete 300MB arrays interactively for hours without having to restart IDL.

I have not used similarly configured Unix systems so I really can't compare.

Mark Rivers
