
Subject: Re: Looking for someone who contacted me a while back.

Posted by [davidf](#) on Fri, 05 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

William Thompson (thompson@orpheus.nascom.nasa.gov) writes:

```
> Once you realize that
>
> IDL> Print, Where(a NE a)
>
> does work, then it makes a certain amount of sense that
>
> IDL> Print, Where(a EQ !Values.F_NAN)
>
> doesn't work.
```

Yeah, I knew there was a perfectly plausible answer. :^)

Cheers,

David

P.S. Have you guys ever read Alice in Wonderland? You would like it.

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: Looking for someone who contacted me a while back.

Posted by [thompson](#) on Fri, 05 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

davidf@dfanning.com (David Fanning) writes:

```
> Todd Bowers (tbowers@nrlssc.navy.mil) writes:
```

```
>> Damn! is there *anything* you don't know, David??
```

```
> Uh, yeah, *why* Where(a EQ !Values.F_NAN) doesn't work. :-)
```

Once you realize that

```
IDL> Print, Where(a NE a)
```

does work, then it makes a certain amount of sense that

```
IDL> Print, Where(a EQ !Values.F_NAN)
```

doesn't work. Any comparison of an NaN value to another value returns false, even if that other value is also an NaN value.

Actually, it's wrong to think of NaN as a specific value. Instead it's a collection of "values" (bit patterns), all of which are interpreted as NaN. There are, in fact, 16,777,214 different bit patterns which translate into a single precision NaN value, and 9,007,199,254,740,990 possible double precision NaN values. Half of these values are displayed by IDL as "-NaN" when printed to the screen, but that's actually not required by the IEEE floating point standard.

It would have made a certain amount of sense for RSI to adopt the convention that the expression "a EQ b" would return true when the comparison was between two NaN values, regardless of the exact bit pattern. Instead they adopted the convention that this returns false when either (or both) of the variables are NaN. It seems counterintuitive, but once you get the hang of it, it's not too bad. It does have the nice property that, if the variables A and B are arrays, then "Where(a EQ b)" only returns the indices of valid numbers.

William Thompson

P.S. In case you're interested, a floating point number is considered to be NaN if the exponent field is all ones, and at least one of the bits in the mantissa field is also set to one, regardless of the sign bit. If the exponent field is all ones, and the mantissa field is all zeroes, then the value is plus or minus infinity, depending on the sign bit. Everything else is a valid floating point number.

Subject: Re: Looking for someone who contacted me a while back.

Posted by [T Bowers](#) on Fri, 05 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
> P.S. Blue.
>

Damn! You've done it again!

Subject: Re: Looking for someone who contacted me a while back.

Posted by [davidf](#) on Fri, 05 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT

Todd Bowers (tbowers@nrlssc.navy.mil) writes:

> Damn! is there *anything* you don't know, David??

Uh, yeah, *why* Where(a EQ !Values.F_NAN) doesn't work. :-)

Cheers,

David

P.S. Blue.

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: Looking for someone who contacted me a while back.

Posted by [T Bowers](#) on Fri, 05 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Damn! is there *anything* you don't know, David??

Ok, what's my favorite color?

Thanks

Todd

Subject: Re: Looking for someone who contacted me a while back.

Posted by [davidf](#) on Fri, 05 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Todd Bowers (tbowers@nrlssc.navy.mil) writes:

> Oops, I can't remember the name but somebody contacted
> me a few months ago on 3D,4D interpolations. He either does
> or did work for David Fanning Consulting. Told him I'd
> get back in contact with him when I was ready to really
> jump in to the problem. Well... I'm a jumpin'. So please
> contact me if you're you, or if you're not you but are
> interested in accurate 3D+ scatter data stuff.

Dick Jackson, djackson@dfanning.com. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: Looking for someone who contacted me a while back.

Posted by [thompson](#) on Mon, 08 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

davidf@dfanning.com (David Fanning) writes:

> P.S. Have you guys ever read Alice in Wonderland? You
> would like it.

I thought that was required reading in mathematics. ;^)

Bill Thompson
