
Subject: Command input window in Windows: why only one line?

Posted by [Bigorna](#) on Fri, 12 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

I have been using IDL for Unix for some years now and I recently had to move to the Windows version.

I find one irritating feature in IDL for Windows, relative to the Unix and Linux versions: it is not possible to paste multiple lines of code into IDL! It is only possible to do it one line at a time (the clipboard may have multiple lines, but IDL only runs the first one).

I know that this may not be the cleanest way of testing/building/running programs, but I find that copying and pasting parts of programs into the IDL window (and running them, even with loops!) is a very efficient and flexible way of doing tests! I can run bits and pieces of various programs, without having to create a special procedure, compiling, etc. A simple copy+paste does the same work much faster (but I do things properly when the "bits and pieces" are working the way I want).

Am I the only one who works this way and thinks that RSI should allow multiple code line pasting in their Windows version?!! Is there a way to get around it?

Thanks,

Bigorna

Subject: Re: Command input window in Windows: why only one line?

Posted by [Michael Asten](#) on Tue, 16 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Bigorna wrote:

> Dear all,
>
> I have been using IDL for Unix for some years now and I recently had to
> move to the Windows version.
>
> I find one irritating feature in IDL for Windows, relative to the Unix
> and Linux versions: it is not possible to paste multiple lines of code
> into IDL! It is only possible to do it one line at a time (the clipboard
> may have multiple lines, but IDL only runs the first one).

It is not much consolation, but you can get multiple commands including for

loops into the windows command line,
by editting them into a singleline, using the ampersand, eg as follows:

for i=1,3 do begin & j=i*2 & print,j & endfor & end

But I agree it is not the easy solution you are after.

Regards,
Michael Asten
