
Subject: monte carlo analysis

Posted by [charliesolomon](#) on Fri, 17 Dec 1999 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello ng,

Does anyone have experience with monte carlo analysis in IDL? I'm just starting to research it and would appreciate any insights or pointers in the right direction. The purpose would be to take an existing scientific model and run gads of iterations, using different distributions for the input variables to get statistical results.

Thanks,

Charlie Solomon
csolomon@usc.edu

Sent via Deja.com <http://www.deja.com/>
Before you buy.

Subject: Re: monte carlo analysis

Posted by [thompson](#) on Sat, 18 Dec 1999 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

charliesolomon@my-deja.com writes:

> Hello ng,

> Does anyone have experience with monte carlo analysis in IDL? I'm just
> starting to research it and would appreciate any insights or pointers
> in the right direction. The purpose would be to take an existing
> scientific model and run gads of iterations, using different
> distributions for the input variables to get statistical results.
> Thanks,

> Charlie Solomon
> csolomon@usc.edu

The trick with Monte Carlo analysis is to generate a properly randomized set of test particles with the correct characteristics. There was some discussion a while back about the properties of the random number generators in IDL. You might check out www.dejanews.com, for example

[http://x45.deja.com/\[ST_rn=if\]/getdoc.xp?AN=509839686&CONTEXT=945475070.1365508166&hitnum=12](http://x45.deja.com/[ST_rn=if]/getdoc.xp?AN=509839686&CONTEXT=945475070.1365508166&hitnum=12)

Other than that, Monte Carlo is a fairly straightforward process. IDL may not

be the best language to implement it in, though, because of all the looping you'll probably need to do.

William Thompson

Subject: Re: monte carlo analysis

Posted by [Andy Loughe](#) on Tue, 21 Dec 1999 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

William Thompson wrote:

<snip>

> There was some discussion a
> while back about the properties of the random number generators in IDL. You
> might check out www.dejanews.com, for example

One concern is generating the initial seed.
Here is one simple method using the system clock...

```
seed = long((systime(1) - long(systime(1))) * 1.e8)
```

```
; Then for a Normal distribution:
```

```
num_rans = 100000
```

```
ran_nums = randomn(seed, num_rans)
```

```
stats = moment(ran_nums)
```

```
plot, histogram(ran_nums, binsize=.1), thick=3
```

```
print, '1)MEAN, 2)VARIANCE, 3)SKEWNESS, 4)KURTOSIS : ', stats
```

Then there is no need to set the seed again, unless you
delvar, seed

--

| Andrew F. Loughe | email: afl@cdc.noaa.gov
| NOAA-CIRES CDC | web : www.cdc.noaa.gov/~afl
| 325 Broadway Mail Code R/E/CD1 | voice: (303)497-6211
| Boulder, CO 80303-3328 | fax : (303)497-7013

"We must believe in free will, we have no choice"-Isaac B. Singer

Subject: Re: monte carlo analysis

Posted by [htonishi](#) on Wed, 22 Dec 1999 08:00:00 GMT

I often set up simple monte carlo analyses in IDL especially when visualization is useful for debugging. However, I have found that you have to be VERY careful about how you code your loops because you can easily loose a factor of 10 in performance if you don't design your loops correctly. I have also found just recently that the profiler in 5.3 (I don't know if it's in earlier versions) is very handy for determining where time is being spent and where you should therefore look to optimize run time.

If, however, you're going to run a lot of monte carlos -- hundreds of thousands or millions of iterations -- then I think you should really consider C or Fortran.

Howard Onishi

* Sent from RemarQ <http://www.remarq.com> The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!
