Subject: Re: Widget Frame Attributes on Linux Posted by Bernard Puc on Thu, 16 Dec 1999 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Liam Gumley wrote:

>

- > Bernard Puc wrote:
- >> For the Linux users, does anyone know why the keyword TLB_Frame_Attr to
- >> the Widget Base function is ignored? I am using the KDE window manager.

>

- > Because of this unreliable behavior, I don't use the TLB FRAME ATTR
- > keyword. Another reason to avoid this keyword is that creating widgets
- > which can't be moved, resized, or closed normally is completely
- > non-intuitive for users.

I like it for creating a message box without the buttons of a dialog_message().

--

Bernard Puc AETC, INC.

bpuc@va.aetc.com 1225 Jefferson Davis Highway #800

(703) 413-0500 Arlington, VA 22202

Subject: Re: Widget Frame Attributes on Linux Posted by Liam Gumley on Thu, 16 Dec 1999 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Bernard Puc wrote:

- > For the Linux users, does anyone know why the keyword TLB_Frame_Attr to
- > the Widget_Base function is ignored? I am using the KDE window manager.

The TLB_FRAME_ATTR keyword is also ignored on Windows, whether you are running IDL under Windows, or are logged in to a remote host running IDL under Unix. I'm willing to bet it's ignored on MacOS as well (anyone care to confirm this?). For example, the following code is supposed to create a base widget with no system menu:

base = widget_base(tlb_frame_attr=2)
widget_control, base, /realize

Because of this unreliable behavior, I don't use the TLB_FRAME_ATTR keyword. Another reason to avoid this keyword is that creating widgets which can't be moved, resized, or closed normally is completely non-intuitive for users.

Cheers.

Liam.

--

Liam E. Gumley Space Science and Engineering Center, UW-Madison http://cimss.ssec.wisc.edu/~gumley