

---

Subject: Re: idl 5.3 runtime on idl 5.2 system work?

Posted by [davidf](#) on Fri, 17 Dec 1999 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Tony L. (al@bnl.gov) writes:

> Hi all. I just upgraded to 5.3 and created a .sav runtime which I then  
> ported to another NT computer running 5.2. On the 5.2 system my runtime  
> doesn't work, gives me a 'attempt to call undefined procedure/function"  
> error. Works fine on my 5.3 NT system. Anybody else encounter a similar  
> problem?

IDL SAVE files are not generally backward compatible,  
except for saved data. It is highly unlikely that  
SAVED routines (which is what we have here) will  
run in a previous version of IDL.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: [davidf@dfanning.com](mailto:davidf@dfanning.com)

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

---

---

Subject: Re: idl 5.3 runtime on idl 5.2 system work?

Posted by [Liam Gumley](#) on Wed, 22 Dec 1999 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

wbiagiot@suffolk.lib.ny.us wrote:

> Well, they \*might\* work, but in your case it sounds like you are using a  
> new/modified IDL 5.3 function. I doubt that their entire internal  
> structure changed. In general David is right - it shouldn't be expected  
> to work. Kinda stinks if your are distributing applications  
> because you need to have maintenance on ALL platforms (\$\$\$).

The problem is not that a function may have changed in IDL 5.3. The real  
issue is that the format of SAVE files which include compiled routines  
is different in IDL 5.3. However as David pointed out, SAVE files which  
include only data (variables) are backward and forward compatible.

Cheers,

Liam.

--

Liam E. Gumley  
Space Science and Engineering Center, UW-Madison  
<http://cimss.ssec.wisc.edu/~gumley>

---

---

Subject: Re: idl 5.3 runtime on idl 5.2 system work?  
Posted by [wbiagiot](#) on Wed, 22 Dec 1999 08:00:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, they \*might\* work, but in your case it sounds like you are using a new/modified IDL 5.3 function. I doubt that their entire internal structure changed. In general David is right - it shouldn't be expected to work. Kinda stinks if your are distributing applications because you need to have maintenance on ALL platforms (\$\$\$).

-Bill B.

BTW - bnl is Brookhaven National Lab? Need any IDL freelancers? I'm local (in a physical sense).

--

"They don't think it be like it is, but it do."

Oscar Gamble, NY Yankees

Sent via Deja.com <http://www.deja.com/>  
Before you buy.

---