Subject: Re: IDL FFT vs C benchmark?

Posted by Liam E. Gumley on Fri, 04 Feb 2000 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Myron Brown wrote:

- > Hi. Has anybody done any benchmarking of IDL's
- > FFT routines? They seem pretty fast, actually. I'm
- > wondering how they compare to efficient C code.
- > Perhaps someone has looked at fftpack or other
- > efficient implementations of the FFT in C and compared
- > them?

Check out

http://airs2.ssec.wisc.edu/~paulv/fft/fft_comparison.html

You might need to run the benchmarks on your platform. As I recall, IDL performed comparably to the FORTRAN version from Numerical Recipes.

If you have the energy, I'd be interested to see a comparison of IDL and FFTW

http://www.fftw.org/

Cheers, Liam.

http://cimss.ssec.wisc.edu/~gumley

Subject: Re: IDL FFT vs C benchmark?
Posted by David McClain on Mon, 07 Feb 2000 08:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Actually, the IDL routines are pretty slow by comparison... More than two years ago I investigated their performance compared to the Intel Math Kernel Library and found that unlike the expected 2N log2 N for an NxN square image 2-D FFT, the IDL routines scaled as (N log2 N)^2 which implies a tree search on every butterfly operation. This appaling behavior was pointed out the RSI and they furnished the header comments from a Fortran reference that they used for their implementation. It appears that they sacrificed speed for the sake of arbitrary dimension FFT's. The Intel routines are strictly power of 2 but so what. I would rather have an interpolated transform to a power of 2 in size at expected speeds than to sacrifice performance due to poor scaling of the original problem.

We now use the Intel MKL routines wrapped in a multithreaded DLL to maximize parallel performance of FFT's. The speedup is remarkable indeed. On our old 4-processor Pentium Pro machine we reached speeds of 75 MButterflys/sec. Our newer multiprocessors exceed that by another factor of 2-5. By comparison,

we never saw the RSI routines exceed 7-10 MButterflys/sec.

D.McClain, Sr. Scientist Raytheon Systems Co. Tucson, AZ

Myron Brown <Myron.Brown@jhuapl.edu> wrote in message news:87engh\$t1a\$1@houston.jhuapl.edu...

- > Hi. Has anybody done any benchmarking of IDL's
- > FFT routines? They seem pretty fast, actually. I'm
- > wondering how they compare to efficient C code.
- > Perhaps someone has looked at fftpack or other
- > efficient implementations of the FFT in C and compared
- > them?
- > Anyone have any idea?
- > Thanks.
- > Myron Brown
- > Myron.Brown@jhuapl.edu
- > JHU Applied Physics Laboratory
- >
- >