Subject: Efficient IDL programming Posted by dean on Thu, 02 Dec 1993 23:08:18 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I would like to thank everbody who responded to my request for help in "extracting bits from bytes". Below is a test PRO that I made to read in the graphic file. It reads, converts, expands, enhances, and reverses my file (from (512,64) to (512,512)) in about 30 seconds.

I started with DEC2BIN.PRO posted by Bill Thompson. This worked, but it took awhile to go thru 32,768 calculations. Both Chris Chase and Dr. Marty Ryba suggested "masks" which speed things up considerably.

I just wanted to check to see if anyone would know if I can illiminated the FOR DO BEGIN loops to make this PRO a little more efficient.

Thanks again guys,

```
Kelly Dean
pro test
    head = bytarr(56)
    premature_EOF = 1
    ON_IOERROR, SHORT_GRF
    Read "in house" graphic file.
    OPENR, unit, 'dtopo:gms512.grf', /GET_LUN
    READU, unit, head
    chead = STRING(head)
    ck_imx = STRMID(chead,0,6)
    Verify that it is an IMX graphic file before proceeding
    IF ( ck_imx EQ '%IMAGE' ) THEN BEGIN $
         head lgth = STRMID(chead, 28,7)
         IF ( head_lgth GT 56 ) THEN BEGIN $
              rem_head = bytarr(head_lgth-56)
              READU, unit, rem head
         ENDIF
         xsize = strmid(chead, 36,6)
         ysize = strmid(chead,43,6)
         imxgrf = bytarr(xsize,ysize/8)
         graphic = bytarr(xsize,ysize)
         readu, unit, imxgrf
         premature EOF = 0
```

```
SHORT_GRF: IF premature_EOF THEN PRINT, 'Short graphic'
         close, unit
    Create mask.
         tmp = lindgen(8)
         mask = 2L^{tmp}
    Expand array(xsize,ysize/8) to array(xsize,ysize).
         yyy = 0
         FOR y = 0,(ysize/8)-1 DO BEGIN
         xxx = 0
         FOR x = 0, x size-1 DO BEGIN
          IF (xxx EQ xsize) THEN BEGIN $
              xxx = 0
              yyy = yyy + 1
          ENDIF
    Perform the conversion (Dr. Marty Ryba (MIT) suggestion).
          graphic(xxx,yyy) = (imxgrf(x,y) and mask) ne 0
          xxx = xxx + 8
         ENDFOR
         yyy = yyy + 1
         ENDFOR
    Enhance value so you can see it and reverse image, then display.
         graphic(Where(graphic EQ 001b)) = 244b
         graphic = rotate(graphic,7); Transpose 270 deg, (Xo,-Yo)
         tv, graphic
    ENDIF
END
```

```
Subject: Re: Efficient IDL programming (use outer product)
Posted by chase on Mon, 06 Dec 1993 17:51:47 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
```

```
>>>> "dean" == dean <dean@phobos.cira.colostate.edu> writes:

dean> I just wanted to check to see if anyone would know if I can dean> illiminated the FOR DO BEGIN loops to make this PRO a little dean> more efficient.

dean> Thanks again guys,
```

Kelly Dean

dean>

[Kelly wants to efficiently decode a "packed" bit array back into an "unpacked" bit array.]

Here is something you can try.

For efficiency, what you need is a general outer product that can use any binary operator, e.g., AND. IDL's outer product "#" uses only multiplication (see comments at end). Fortunately, you can decode your bytes into the corresponding bit patterns using multiplication.

Suppose A=bytarr(512,64) contains your data. Then you can obtain your bit patterns as such:

```
mask = 2B^indgen(8)
B = bytarr(512,512)
```

A = transpose(A); Put the 64 bytes along the rows.

```
B(*) = (byte(mask#A(*))/128B)(*)
```

Here is a smaller example that takes an array of 16 rows of 2 bytes each and decodes the bits into a 16 by 16 byte array:

```
IDL> mask = 2\(^i\)indgen(8)
IDL > z = (bindgen(2)+1)#(bindgen(16)+3)
IDL> help,z
Ζ
         LONG
                  = Array(2, 16)
IDL> b=bytarr(16,16)
IDL > b(*) = (byte(mask#z(*))/128b)(*)
;; Unfortunately "#" always seems to convert the types of its operands
;; to LONG before performing the outer product (I would call this
;; unexpected behavior). Hence the byte() conversion before the
;; division.
IDL> help,b
         BYTE
                 = Array(16, 16)
IDL> print,b
 0 0 0 0 0
                 1
                           0 0 0 0
 0 0 0 0 0 1
                           0
                             0 0
                                   1 0
 0 0 0 0 0 1
                 0
                    1
                        0 0 0 0
                                  1 0 1
 0 0 0 0 0
              1
                    0
                        0 0 0 0
                                  1 1 0
 0 0 0
         0 0
              1
                        0 0 0 0
                                  1
 0 0 0
         0 1
              0 0
                    0
                        0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0
 0 0 0 0 1
              0 0
                    1
                        0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0
 0 0
      0
         0 1
              0
                 1
                    0
                        0 0 0
                                1
                                   0
                                     1 0 0
 0 0 0 0 1
              0
                1
                    1
                        0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0
```

0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0

0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0

0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0

;; Space inserted

IDL> print,z

3	6
4	8
5	10
6	12
7	14
8	16
9	18
10	20
11	22
12	24
13	26
14	28
15	30
16	32
17	34
18	36

If the bits need to go in the opposite order (LSB first), just reverse the mask array.

-----

## IDL Comments/musing/wishful thinking:

I would like to see two `APL'-like operators in IDL for dealing with vectors and matrices:

- 1) outer products using a given binary operator.
- reduction apply a scalar valued function along one dimension of an array (works like TOTAL function when using the dimension parameter). For example, return the maximum of each row of a maxtrix.

It seems that I was constantly implementing these types of operations on arrays using FOR loops, especially reduction. (The FOR loops can make execution \_slow\_). These can be implemented as functions using CALL\_FUNCTION for reduction and EXECUTE for outer products. However, these implementations are not nearly efficient as builtin implementations could be.

A general outer product could be added to IDL by a simple addition to

the syntax similar to the MATLAB "./" operator for element by element division. For example, IDL could use the "#" as prefix notation to an operator, e.g.:

x #+ y x #and y x #< y

where "#bop" between two vectors means perform an outer product using 'bop' instead of multiplication.

I suppose this is just syntactic sugar and not necessary.

NOTE: If anyone is interested in my OUTER and REDUCE IDL functions implementing outer products and reduction just ask and I will email them to you.

Chris

P.S. I am interested in comments/bugs with idl.el and idl-shell.el. Send them my way.

\_\_\_\_\_

Bldg 24-E188 The Applied Physics Laboratory The Johns Hopkins University (301)953-6000 x8529 chris chase@jhuapl.edu