Subject: TeXtoIDL fix for IDL 5.3
Posted by Randall Smith on Thu, 17 Feb 2000 08:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

We just upgraded to IDL 5.3 here, which broke that most useful of all IDL user-written routines: TeXtoIDL. (ftp://coma.berkeley.edu/pub/mcraig/idl/TeXtoIDL/) I believe when it was first announced, one grateful user offered the author (Matt Craig) his first-born.

Anyway, it turns out that RSI, in a fit of what can only be described as morally degenerate programming, added a new function (strtok) to the language, although they _didn't_ see fit to document this (it's apparently part of the new string routines stregex, strjoin, strmatch, and strsplit that are in IDL 5.3). Of course, the TeXtoIDL routine has its OWN strtok, which is then not called because of this name clash. The error, of course, doesn't show up anywhere near the strtok call, but rather as a type conflict since the two versions of strtok return immiscible results. (Note to RSI: Would it REALLY be that difficult to make all non-documented routines start with, say, "RSI_", so that we poor users could easily avoid them? And, while we're at it, all internal common blocks as well?)

In any event, the fix is to change all references (including the declaration) of "strtok" in the TeXtoIDL package to a unique name, such as t2i strtok. After this, all is well with the world.

Randall Smith
Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory

Subject: Re: TeXtoIDL fix for IDL 5.3
Posted by marc schellens[1] on Fri, 18 Feb 2000 08:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

William Thompson wrote:

> "Mark Hadfield" <m.hadfield@niwa.cri.nz> writes:
>
>> Randall Smith <rsmith@kracko.harvard.edu> wrote in message
>> news:owemabj2db.fsf@kracko.harvard.edu...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Anyway, it turns out that RSI, in a fit of what can only be described
>>> as morally degenerate programming, added a new function (strtok) to
>>> the language, although they _didn't_ see fit to document this (it's
>>> apparently part of the new string routines stregex, strjoin, strmatch,

```
>>> and strsplit that are in IDL 5.3).
>> It's even more irritatingly trivial than that. They added strtok, then
>> decided to call it strsplit, but instead of renaming it they wrote strsplit
>> as a wrapper. Strsplit's code, in its entirety, is:
     function strsplit, string, pattern, _ref_extra=extra
>>
>
      if (n_params() eq 1) then return, strtok(string, _extra=extra) $
>>
      else return, strtok(string, pattern, extra=extra)
>>
>
      end
>>
>
> That's because people complained that there was already a long-standing routine
> in the IDL Astronomy Library called strsplit, so RSI renamed it strtok.
> However, because they had already advertised that they were adding strsplit,
> they provided this wrapper. Now people are complaining about strtok. I guess
> you just can't win. ;^)
> William Thompson
I think the problem here is, that they didn't document it.
Greetings,
:-) marc
```