
Subject: Re: Cholesky factorisation

Posted by [Pavel Romashkin](#) on Tue, 22 Feb 2000 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I can't help hearing some sarcasm regarding IDL in David McClain's postings. Why is that? After all, accepting computer's numerical precision is a matter of faith, too, especially in life-critical applications Raytheon is developing. I find using upper-level language like IDL very convenient, and availability of "lower-level" tools like pointers is nice. C is great, but so is IDL I think. If we don't trust functions written by others, we might as well write everything ourselves in C...

Cheers,
Pavel

David McClain wrote:

> I use the method shown in Numerical Recipes, 2nd Ed. Coding in C and being
> clever allows it to run in under 3 microsec on a 6x6 array, which is a size
> commonly needed by one of our analyses. Doing it externally allows for both
> speed and error handling as you would want it. It also guarantees
> correctness, as verified by you, the coder; something that RSI would have
> you accept as a matter of faith...
>
> David McClain, Sr. Scientist
> Raytheon Systems Co.
> Tucson, AZ

Subject: Re: Cholesky factorisation

Posted by [David McClain](#) on Tue, 22 Feb 2000 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I use the method shown in Numerical Recipes, 2nd Ed. Coding in C and being clever allows it to run in under 3 microsec on a 6x6 array, which is a size commonly needed by one of our analyses. Doing it externally allows for both speed and error handling as you would want it. It also guarantees correctness, as verified by you, the coder; something that RSI would have you accept as a matter of faith...

David McClain, Sr. Scientist
Raytheon Systems Co.
Tucson, AZ

Peter Scarth <p.scarth@mailbox.uq.edu.au> wrote in message
news:01bf7cda\$4593eba0\$eb2f14ac@default...

> Howdy all,
> I'm trying to determine if a symmetric matrix is positive-definite. If

this

- > sounds like gobbldygook you might like to stop reading here. If the
- > idl2matlab translate-o-matic existed, it would probably need to know about
- > this to translate the handy \ (mldivide) operator. There a number of tests
- > for positive-definiteness and the one I'm looking at is to attempt

cholesky

- > factorisation of the matrix. IDL's CHOLDC procedure halts and returns
- > CHOLDC: choldc failed (note that matlab can return a value to flag a
- > failure in the decomposition).
- > The metho that I an using to get the decomposition looks something like
- > this:

>

> -----

- > pro choldc2,a,p
- > sa=size(a)
- > nd=sa[0] & m=sa[1] & n=sa[2]

>

> ; Some initial tests.....

> ;

>

- > p=fltarr(n)
- > for i=0,n-1 do begin
- > for j=i,n-1 do begin
- > sum=a(j,i)
- > if i gt 0 then sum=sum-total(a(0:i-1,i)*a(0:i-1,j))
- > if (i eq j) then begin
- > if (sum le 0) then begin
- > p=-1
- > return
- > endif else p(i)=sqrt(sum)
- > endif else a(i,j)=sum/p(i)
- > endfor
- > endfor
- > return
- > end

> -----

>

- > it works ok, returns -1 in p if the method fails but only runs at 1/10 the
- > speed of the built in version.
- > Is it possible to vectorise this further, or has someone out there in
- > cyberland found a more elegant solution to this problem already?

>

> Thanks,

>

>

- > Peter Scarth
- > Biophysical Remote Sensing Group
- > The University of Queensland.

>
