Subject: IDLgrClipboard and IDLgrPrinter: wrong vector output order? Posted by Nicolas Decoster on Tue, 23 May 2000 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi.

```
First of all:
IDL> print, !version
{ sparc sunos unix 5.3 Nov 11 1999}
```

I am trying to build home tools to draw some kind of personnal graphics. Once I have my pretty drawing on a IDLgrView displaying on a IDLgrWindow, I want to print it or include it in document. I decided to use IDLgrClipboard with the vector keywords of the Draw method for various reasons.

The problem is that the IDLgrWindow::Draw method and the IDLgrClipboard one don't seem to use the same atomic object order to render the view.

Here is a little code to illustrate my words:

```
--- begin of the little code ---
myView = obj_new('IDLgrView', dimensions = [5, 5], viewplane_rect = [0,
0, 5, 5, units = 2)
myModel = obj_new('IDLgrModel')
myView->Add, myModel
poly1 = obj_new('IDLgrPolygon', [[1, 1], [1, 3], [3, 3], [3, 1]], color
= [255, 0, 0]
myModel->Add, poly1
poly2 = obj_new('IDLgrPolygon', [[2, 2], [2, 4], [4, 4], [4, 2]], color
= [0, 255, 0]
myModel->Add, poly2
myWindow = obj_new('IDLgrWindow', dimensions = [5, 5], units = 2)
myWindow->Draw, myView
myClipboard = obj_new('IDLqrClipboard', dimensions = [5, 5], units = 2)
myClipboard->Draw, myView, filename = 'gah.eps', vector = 1, postscript
= 1
--- end of the little code ---
You see what I mean?
Well, the question is: bug or... "strange feature"?
```

hum?

Later.

Nicolas.

--

T�l.: 00 (33) 5 62 88 11 16 Fax: 00 (33) 5 62 88 11 12 Nicolas.Decoster@Noveltis.fr

Noveltis
Parc Technologique du Canal
2, avenue de l'Europe
31520 Ramonville Saint Agne - France

Subject: Re: IDLgrClipboard and IDLgrPrinter: wrong vector output order? Posted by Nicolas Decoster on Wed, 24 May 2000 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi.

kschultz@rsinc.com wrote:

>

- > I think what we have here is a Z-buffer "tie" issue. The primitives
- > are being drawn in the same order.

>

- > In your example, the red poly is drawn first, then the green one. On
- > the Window device, the red one overlaps the green one, so the Z buffer
- > rule is that the pixels are not modified when there is a tie. This
- > corresponds to the default OpenGL rule of GL_LESS, which means draw
- > only if the fragment's Z is less (closer) than the Z currently in the
- > frame buffer.

>

- > The IDL vector-mode support essentially does not have any notion of a
- > Z-buffer. However, it makes a reasonable effort to sort primitives by
- > their Z values. You can get into problems with this, particularly
- > when polygons overlap each other, or intersect, etc.. In other words,
- > we just do a real simple Z-buffer sort to try to approximate things.
- > I think that the 5.3 manuals discuss the fact that you won't get
- > really good Z-ordering in complex scenes.

>

- > Anyway, apparently, the Z-sort is implemented so that if there is a
- > tie, the order that the primitives are drawn is retained. So, in this
- > case, since both polys have the same Z value, the relative drawing
- > order of these two polys is maintained and the green one is drawn

- > second. Since there is no Z-buffer in vector mode, the green one ends
- > up overlapping the red one.

>

- > So, what is the RIGHT way to do this? I'm not sure it is clear. The
- > argument to try to be consistent with raster Z buffer rules is a good
- > one. But with vector output, people are used to thinking in terms of
- > the "painter's algorithm" of drawing the thing you want on top last,
- > so the intuitive notion of maintaining the order of prims that are at
- > the same Z is also useful.

In fact, for postscript output it is more than "people are used to", postscript _do_ draw the last object on top. So, if I understand well the Z-buffer thing, I think that to be as much as possible near this Z-buffer rendering, you must do the following in vector mode for postscript (and the like) output: if there are several objects at a same Z value you output them in the postscript in the reverse order of the graphic object tree. This way I think it will work for my very simple square example. For more general situation, I am not enough experienced with IDL.

Any comments?

Anyway, I think that in a near future I will use the Mark Hadfield's suggestion: "code-control" of the order. While (for the moment) I only work with 2D graphics, I will use the Z value of my objects to implement a layered organisation of them.

Of course a fix into 5.4 will be nice too.

Later.

Nicolas Decoster.

--

T�l.: 00 (33) 5 62 88 11 16 Fax: 00 (33) 5 62 88 11 12 Nicolas.Decoster@Noveltis.fr

Noveltis
Parc Technologique du Canal
2, avenue de l'Europe
31520 Ramonville Saint Agne - France