
Subject: Re: help with future application

Posted by [Peter Brooker](#) on Wed, 26 Jul 2000 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Setting up the GUI is a big part, but I also want to be able to program quickly an advanced model. The advanced model might involve solving simultaneous partial differential "diffusion" like equations over a 3D grid. Would IDL be a good choice for solving these equations or would another software be better?

Phillip David wrote:

Phillip David wrote:

> Peter;

>

> In fact, if the primary thing you're looking to do is to code up a GUI,
> you might want to check out TCL (Tool Command Language). It's a
> powerful language if that's all you're trying to do. I haven't used it
> myself, but know of a number of successful projects that use this
> approach, and can work quickly to get the GUI up and running.

>

> Phillip

>

> Peter Brooker wrote:

>>

>> We are presently considering how to handle simulation requirements for
>> future technologies. (Present technologies are handled well by
>> commercial codes). As such, we have access to various internal and
>> university codes of which we have the source code. These codes are in
>> C and FORTRAN. The problem is that we have to link these codes together
>> and add GUIs to every thing to make them more user friendly. Also, once
>> a new model appears in the literature, we want to code this up as fast
>> as possible to try this out.

>>

>> I see that IDL could be used to do this. For example, IDL could handle
>> all the input and output with GUIs as well as using CALL_EXTERNAL to
>> execute subroutine forms of all the codes. If IDL is used, then new
>> models could be programmed up very quickly.

>>

>> The question is though, Is IDL the best choice for this linking
>> application? What about MATLAB? How do the Numerics of matrix inversion
>> of MATLAB compare to IDL?

>>

>> thanks-Peter Brooker

Subject: Re: help with future application

Posted by [Phillip David](#) on Wed, 26 Jul 2000 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Peter;

In fact, if the primary thing you're looking to do is to code up a GUI, you might want to check out TCL (Tool Command Language). It's a powerful language if that's all you're trying to do. I haven't used it myself, but know of a number of successful projects that use this approach, and can work quickly to get the GUI up and running.

Phillip

Peter Brooker wrote:

>

> We are presently considering how to handle simulation requirements for
> future technologies. (Present technologies are handled well by
> commercial codes). As such, we have access to various internal and
> university codes of which we have the source code. These codes are in
> C and FORTRAN. The problem is that we have to link these codes together
> and add GUIs to every thing to make them more user friendly. Also, once
> a new model appears in the literature, we want to code this up as fast
> as possible to try this out.

>

> I see that IDL could be used to do this. For example, IDL could handle
> all the input and output with GUIs as well as using CALL_EXTERNAL to
> execute subroutine forms of all the codes. If IDL is used, then new
> models could be programmed up very quickly.

>

> The question is though, Is IDL the best choice for this linking
> application? What about MATLAB? How do the Numerics of matrix inversion
> of MATLAB compare to IDL?

>

> thanks-Peter Brooker
