
Subject: Re: translating an array name to a string
Posted by [John-David T. Smith](#) on Thu, 19 Oct 2000 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Markwardt wrote:

>
> Craig Markwardt <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> writes:
>> Second, your check to see if a variable is undefined is rather
>> convoluted. It involves two passes to get it right. I prefer instead
>> to use the N_ELEMENTS command to immediately determine whether a
>> variable is undefined. Unlike *assigning* an undefined variable,
>> which does produce an error, simply taking the N_ELEMENTS of an
>> undefined variable will not cause an error.
>
> Ooops, this is actually a mistake on my part, at least on versions of
> IDL earlier than v5.3.
>
> ROUTINE_NAMES(NAME, FETCH=1) will
>
> * succeed if a variable exists and is defined
> * return an undefined value if the variable exists but is undefined
> * utterly fail if the variable doesn't exist, stopping execution

That's not the behavior I see in 5.3. I get the 2nd behavior for both
of the latter two cases, and your first code version works as
advertised. (I like it better too.)

JD

--
J.D. Smith | WORK: (607) 255-6263
Cornell Dept. of Astronomy | (607) 255-5842
304 Space Sciences Bldg. | FAX: (607) 255-5875
Ithaca, NY 14853 |

Subject: Re: translating an array name to a string
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Thu, 19 Oct 2000 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Markwardt <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> writes:
> Second, your check to see if a variable is undefined is rather
> convoluted. It involves two passes to get it right. I prefer instead
> to use the N_ELEMENTS command to immediately determine whether a
> variable is undefined. Unlike *assigning* an undefined variable,
> which does produce an error, simply taking the N_ELEMENTS of an
> undefined variable will not cause an error.

Ooops, this is actually a mistake on my part, at least on versions of IDL earlier than v5.3.

ROUTINE_NAMES(NAME, FETCH=1) will

- * succeed if a variable exists and is defined
- * return an undefined value if the variable exists but is undefined
- * utterly fail if the variable doesn't exist, stopping execution

This doesn't do what you want. However, I think the better approach than using CATCH, is to use the VARIABLES keyword with ROUTINE_NAMES to find out if the variable exists first. This is my revised version.

```
. *****  
;  
forward_function routine_names  
  
catch, err  
if err NE 0 then begin  
    catch, /cancel  
    message, 'Assign operation failed'  
endif  
  
; Protect against an already-defined variable  
vnames = routine_names(variables=1)  
wh = where(strupcase(var_name) EQ vnames, ct)  
if ct GT 0 then begin  
    catch,/cancel  
    message,'A variable named '+var_name+' already exists.'  
endif  
  
; Still here... we need to export ourself to the main level  
dummy=routine_names(var_name,myvar,store=1)  
catch, /cancel  
. *****  
;
```

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: translating an array name to a string
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Thu, 19 Oct 2000 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"J.D. Smith" <jdsmith@astro.cornell.edu> writes:

- > This is definitely nicer looking, and it reminded me of a caveat. If
- > you attempt to fetch a variable which doesn't yet exist, an undefined
- > variable will be created on that level for you.

For versions of IDL 5.3 and greater. :-) I should document this.

- > I think our methods offer equal protection against certain types of
- > failure, but I also think call_function provides additional insurance
- > against RSI deciding specifically to remove our capacity to use
- > routine_names() (which they might do if we keep talking about it so much
- > and people catch on!). It is simple to parse *compiler* statements like
- > forward_function for disallowed names. It is impossible (OK, very, very
- > awkward), to prohibit the use of classified *strings*. This is probably
- > paranoid, but that's why I chose call_function.

Yikes! Paranoid indeed.

This is one argument in favor of an open version of IDL, so language sabotage like this wouldn't be possible.

Craig

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: translating an array name to a string
Posted by [John-David T. Smith](#) on Thu, 19 Oct 2000 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Markwardt wrote:

- >
- > Hi JD--
- >
- > I agree that the use of ROUTINE_NAMES is has some potential problems
- > because it is undocumented. I agree with you also that you need to
- > protect your usage of ROUTINE_NAMES with a CATCH handler, since there
- > are a lot of ways for things to go wrong.
- >
- > But I don't agree with your implementation :-).
- >
- > First, the awkward use of CALL_FUNCTION can be avoided by using the
- > FORWARD_FUNCTION declaration. This is always safe, even if the

```

> function being declared is a built-in one.
>
> Second, your check to see if a variable is undefined is rather
> convoluted. It involves two passes to get it right. I prefer instead
> to use the N_ELEMENTS command to immediately determine whether a
> variable is undefined. Unlike *assigning* an undefined variable,
> which does produce an error, simply taking the N_ELEMENTS of an
> undefined variable will not cause an error.
>
> Finally, users need to be aware that the capability to use
> ROUTINE_NAMES to create new variables at another calling level has
> only come with IDL version 5.3. This is documented at
> http://cow.physics.wisc.edu/~craigm/idl/idl.html under Introspection,
> by the way.
>
> So here is my revised version of your code :-) It's shorter and the
> flow control is primarily linear.
>
> . *****
> ;
> forward_function routine_names
>
> catch, err
> if err NE 0 then begin
>   catch, /cancel
>   message, 'Assign operation failed'
> endif
>
> ; Protect against an already-defined variable
> if n_elements(routine_names(var_name,fetch=1)) GT 0 then begin
>   catch,/cancel
>   message,'A variable named '+var_name+' already exists.'
> endif
>
> ; Still here... we need to export ourself to the main level
> dummy=routine_names(var_name,myvar,store=1)
> catch, /cancel
> . *****
> ;

```

This is definitely nicer looking, and it reminded me of a caveat. If you attempt to fetch a variable which doesn't yet exist, an undefined variable will be created on that level for you.

I think our methods offer equal protection against certain types of failure, but I also think `call_function` provides additional insurance against RSI deciding specifically to remove our capacity to use `routine_names()` (which they might do if we keep talking about it so much and people catch on!). It is simple to parse *compiler* statements like

forward_function for disallowed names. It is impossible (OK, very, very awkward), to prohibit the use of classified *strings*. This is probably paranoid, but that's why I chose call_function. In any case I will modify my method to include the n_elements() test (which I was stupid not to think of).

JD

--

J.D. Smith | WORK: (607) 255-6263
Cornell Dept. of Astronomy | (607) 255-5842
304 Space Sciences Bldg. | FAX: (607) 255-5875
Ithaca, NY 14853 |

Subject: Re: translating an array name to a string
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Thu, 19 Oct 2000 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi JD--

I agree that the use of ROUTINE_NAMES is has some potential problems because it is undocumented. I agree with you also that you need to protect your usage of ROUTINE_NAMES with a CATCH handler, since there are a lot of ways for things to go wrong.

But I don't agree with your implementation :-).

First, the awkward use of CALL_FUNCTION can be avoided by using the FORWARD_FUNCTION declaration. This is always safe, even if the function being declared is a built-in one.

Second, your check to see if a variable is undefined is rather convoluted. It involves two passes to get it right. I prefer instead to use the N_ELEMENTS command to immediately determine whether a variable is undefined. Unlike *assigning* an undefined variable, which does produce an error, simply taking the N_ELEMENTS of an undefined variable will not cause an error.

Finally, users need to be aware that the capability to use ROUTINE_NAMES to create new variables at another calling level has only come with IDL version 5.3. This is documented at <http://cow.physics.wisc.edu/~craigm/idl/idl.html> under Introspection, by the way.

So here is my revised version of your code :-) It's shorter and the flow control is primarily linear.

```

. *****
;
forward_function routine_names

catch, err
if err NE 0 then begin
  catch, /cancel
  message, 'Assign operation failed'
endif

; Protect against an already-defined variable
if n_elements(routine_names(var_name,fetch=1)) GT 0 then begin
  catch,/cancel
  message,'A variable named '+var_name+' already exists.'
endif

; Still here... we need to export ourself to the main level
dummy=routine_names(var_name,myvar,store=1)
catch, /cancel
. *****
;

```

Craig

"J.D. Smith" <jdsmith@astro.cornell.edu> writes:

> By the way, for those of you using routine_names for heavy magic... you
> might consider examining the following extra-cautions snippet to export
> a variable to the \$MAIN\$ level:

```

>
> var_free=0
> catch, err
> if err ne 0 then begin
>   ;; An undefvar indicates routine_info ran and
>   ;; the variable is free
>   if !ERROR_STATE.NAME ne 'IDL_M_UNDEFVAR' then begin
>     catch,/cancel
> message,"Can't complete operation... Try obj=sp_sel()"
>   endif
>   var_free=1
> endif
>
> ;; If we need to check if the variable name is available, do so.
> if var_free eq 0 then $
>   rn=call_function('routine_names',var_name,FETCH=1)
>
>   if n_elements(rn) ne 0 then begin
> catch,/cancel

```

```
> message,'A variable named '+var_name+' already exists.'
> endif
>
> ;; Still here... we need to export ourself to the main level
> rn=call_function('routine_names',var_name,myvar,store=1)
>
> basically the idea is to wrap routine_names as a string in
> call_function, to allow your routine to compile even if RSI yanks or
> renames it (it wouldn't compile if you tried to call it directly).
> You'll get an error, of course, which will be caught. You have to
> discriminate between errors caused by the successful operation of
> routine_names(), and those caused by incorrect arguments, changed
> keywords (IDL_M_KEYWORD_BAD), or other mutations routine_names() has
> undergone (such as vanishing altogether -- IDL_M_UPRO_UNDEF). You
> obviously have to have a backup plan too, to tell your users what to do
> in case routine_names() has broken. But it's better than your program
> not running at all though.
>
> JD
>
> --
> J.D. Smith          | WORK: (607) 255-6263
> Cornell Dept. of Astronomy | (607) 255-5842
> 304 Space Sciences Bldg. | FAX: (607) 255-5875
> Ithaca, NY 14853    |
```

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: translating an array name to a string
Posted by [promashkin](#) on Thu, 19 Oct 2000 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Just a speculation along the lines.

I faced this matter about a year ago, and was given an advice from a RSI developer, not to use variable names as titles and not to define meaningful variable names at runtime. Instead, organize the data internally so that a meaningful name can be stored in a structure field, for example (or an object property).

The reason I had that question is that I came to IDL from Igor Pro, whose proprietary macro language uses "waves" with meaningful (hopefully) names that you create yourself. It took me a few days to realize the difference, but once I did, I never needed to use variable names directly again. It is the persistence of arrays in Igor, absence

of a structure data type and Igor's inability to create temporary arrays on the fly that makes you use meaningful names. Then, you must keep track of what's being used all by yourself, with the help of "nameofwave" functions. Pretty cumbersome.

Cheers,
Pavel

Subject: Re: translating an array name to a string
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Thu, 19 Oct 2000 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Williams <d.williams@qub.ac.uk> writes:

```
> Alex Schuster wrote:
>>
>> David Williams wrote:
>>
>>> Hi. This is probably a very basic IDL question, so apologies if that's
>>> the case. I'm looking for a way to translate the name of an array (e.g.
>>> "DATACUBE1") into a string that I can use in titles and/or in feedback
>>> at the prompt. I want to make my routines more user-friendly, and I hate
>>> forcing a title
>>
>> Have a look at the OUTPUT keyword to HELP:
>>
>
> Vielen Dank, Alex! That was just what I needed.
```

Pardon me, but if you know the name of your variable already, then it seems that you don't really have a problem. If you know the variable name is MOVIE, then why not hardcode that into the output string?

On the other hand, if you are in a procedure or function, it might indeed be useful to know the name of variable at the *caller's* level. In that case you would want to use the ROUTINE_NAMES function, which is unfortunately undocumented.

I have documented what I and the newsgroup have discovered about this very useful function. You will find it here:

<http://cow.physics.wisc.edu/~craigm/idl/idl.html>

listed under Introspection.

Good luck!
Craig

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: translating an array name to a string
Posted by [John-David T. Smith](#) on Thu, 19 Oct 2000 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Alex Schuster wrote:

```
>
> David Williams wrote:
>
>> Hi. This is probably a very basic IDL question, so apologies if that's
>> the case. I'm looking for a way to translate the name of an array (e.g.
>> "DATACUBE1") into a string that I can use in titles and/or in feedback
>> at the prompt. I want to make my routines more user-friendly, and I hate
>> forcing a title
>
> Have a look at the OUTPUT keyword to HELP:
>
> IDL> help, movie
> MOVIE      BYTE      = Array[256, 256, 64]
> IDL> help, movie, output=output
> IDL> print, output
> MOVIE      BYTE      = Array[256, 256, 64]
> CLU> print, (str_sep( output[0], " "))[0]
> MOVIE
```

Also try:

```
print,routine_names(movie,/ARG_NAME)
```

Don't try looking this one up in the manual. Neither of these operations are supported. RSI reserves the right to remove or retool routine_names() AND/OR the output format of help. That's the price you pay.

By the way, for those of you using routine_names for heavy magic... you might consider examining the following extra-cautions snippet to export a variable to the \$MAIN\$ level:

```
var_free=0
catch, err
if err ne 0 then begin
```

```

;; An undefvar indicates routine_info ran and
;; the variable is free
if !ERROR_STATE.NAME ne 'IDL_M_UNDEFVAR' then begin
  catch,/cancel
message,"Can't complete operation... Try obj=sp_sel()"
endif
var_free=1
endif

;; If we need to check if the variable name is available, do so.
if var_free eq 0 then $
  rn=call_function('routine_names',var_name,FETCH=1)

  if n_elements(rn) ne 0 then begin
    catch,/cancel
    message,'A variable named '+var_name+' already exists.'
  endif

;; Still here... we need to export ourself to the main level
rn=call_function('routine_names',var_name,myvar,store=1)

```

basically the idea is to wrap routine_names as a string in call_function, to allow your routine to compile even if RSI yanks or renames it (it wouldn't compile if you tried to call it directly). You'll get an error, of course, which will be caught. You have to discriminate between errors caused by the successful operation of routine_names(), and those caused by incorrect arguments, changed keywords (IDL_M_KEYWORD_BAD), or other mutations routine_names() has undergone (such as vanishing altogether -- IDL_M_UPRO_UNDEF). You obviously have to have a backup plan too, to tell your users what to do in case routine_names() has broken. But it's better than your program not running at all though.

JD

--

J.D. Smith | WORK: (607) 255-6263
 Cornell Dept. of Astronomy | (607) 255-5842
 304 Space Sciences Bldg. | FAX: (607) 255-5875
 Ithaca, NY 14853 |

Subject: Re: translating an array name to a string
 Posted by [David Williams](#) on Thu, 19 Oct 2000 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Alex Schuster wrote:

>

> David Williams wrote:
>
>> Hi. This is probably a very basic IDL question, so apologies if that's
>> the case. I'm looking for a way to translate the name of an array (e.g.
>> "DATACUBE1") into a string that I can use in titles and/or in feedback
>> at the prompt. I want to make my routines more user-friendly, and I hate
>> forcing a title
>
> Have a look at the OUTPUT keyword to HELP:
>

Vielen Dank, Alex! That was just what I needed.

```
===== =  
David R. Williams, Tel.: (+44 1232) 273509  
APS Division,  
Pure & Applied Physics Dept.,  
Queen's University,  
Belfast,  
BT7 1NN. http://star.pst.qub.ac.uk/~drw/  
===== =
```

Subject: Re: translating an array name to a string
Posted by [Alex Schuster](#) on Thu, 19 Oct 2000 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Williams wrote:

> Hi. This is probably a very basic IDL question, so apologies if that's
> the case. I'm looking for a way to translate the name of an array (e.g.
> "DATACUBE1") into a string that I can use in titles and/or in feedback
> at the prompt. I want to make my routines more user-friendly, and I hate
> forcing a title

Have a look at the OUTPUT keyword to HELP:

```
IDL> help, movie  
MOVIE      BYTE      = Array[256, 256, 64]  
IDL> help, movie, output=output  
IDL> print, output  
MOVIE      BYTE      = Array[256, 256, 64]  
CLU> print, (str_sep( output[0], " "))[0]  
MOVIE
```

Alex

--

Alex Schuster Wonko@weird.cologne.de PGP Key available

Subject: Re: translating an array name to a string
Posted by [Martin Schultz](#) on Thu, 19 Oct 2000 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Williams wrote:

>
> Hi. This is probably a very basic IDL question, so apologies if that's
> the case. I'm looking for a way to translate the name of an array (e.g.
> "DATACUBE1") into a string that I can use in titles and/or in feedback
> at the prompt. I want to make my routines more user-friendly, and I hate
> forcing a title
>
> Say I want to display a frame from a movie of images, called MOVIE. I
> use tvim, and I currently say something like:
>
> tvim,MOVIE,\$
> title='frame No.'+ARR2STR(i,/trim)+' of your datacube'.
>
> (ARR2STR is a SolarSoftWare IDL routine which turns variable values into
> strings, and the TRIM keyword removes unnecessary spaces - very handy!)
>
> What I'd like to be able to do is say:
>
> ...
> title='frame No.'+ARR2STR(i,/trim)+' of '+ARRNAME2STRING(movie)
>
> so that I can instantly show which array I'm looking at, as well as
> which frame.
>
> Nothing obvious springs to my mind, so I thought I'd ask this newsgroup.
>
> Thanks in advance for any help,
> Dave Williams.<d.williams@qub.ac.uk>
>
> --

Dave, the question is not so basic as it seems --- at least if you start thinking about what your user may wish to see as title. I guess there is a reason why the netcdf people have invented the long_name attribute... But to solve your actual problem; this can probably be done with some mystic use of Routine_Info(); Reimar Bauer from Juelich (r.bauer@fz-juelich.de) is an expert on this.

Cheers,
Martin

--

```

[[ Dr. Martin Schultz  Max-Planck-Institut fuer Meteorologie  [[
[[      Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg      [[
[[      phone: +49 40 41173-308      [[
[[      fax: +49 40 41173-298      [[
[[ martin.schultz@dkrz.de      [[
[[

```

Subject: Re: translating an array name to a string
Posted by [David Williams](#) on Fri, 20 Oct 2000 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

--

Thanks to everyone for their suggestions. Looks like it'll keep me going for some time.

Dave

```

=====
David R. Williams, Tel.: (+44 1232) 273509
APS Division,
Pure & Applied Physics Dept.,
Queen's University,
Belfast,
BT7 1NN. http://star.pst.qub.ac.uk/~drw/
=====

```

Subject: Re: translating an array name to a string
Posted by [David Williams](#) on Fri, 20 Oct 2000 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> On the other hand, if you are in a procedure or function, it might
> indeed be useful to know the name of variable at the *caller's* level.
> In that case you would want to use the ROUTINE_NAMES function, which
> is unfortunately undocumented.
>
> I have documented what I and the newsgroup have discovered about this
> very useful function. You will find it here:
>
> <http://cow.physics.wisc.edu/~craigm/idl/idl.html>
>
> listed under Introspection.
>

> Good luck!
> Craig

Thanks a lot, Craig, for this and the rest of your contributions on the matter.

Dave.

===== =
David R. Williams, Tel.: (+44 1232) 273509
APS Division,
Pure & Applied Physics Dept.,
Queen's University,
Belfast,
BT7 1NN. <http://star.pst.qub.ac.uk/~drw/>
===== =
