Subject: Smooth()
Posted by Richard French on Thu, 14 Dec 2000 05:28:57 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Out of curiosity, can anyone think of a good reason that the smooth() function bombs when you ask it to smooth something by 1?

Ex:

```
print,smooth([1.,3.,4.,2.],3)
1.00000 2.66667 3.00000 2.00000
print,smooth([1.,3.,4.,2.],1)
% SMOOTH: Width must be > 2 and smaller than array dimensions: <INT
( 1)>
% Execution halted at: $MAIN$
```

I have lots of instances where the amount of smoothing I want to do is a variable, and it seems silly to have to do my own checking to see if I actually need any smoothing or not. It certainly makes sense to me that if the smoothing width is only one bin, the smooth() function should just be a no-op and return the input array. This is what the REBIN() function does, after all - it does not complain if you tell it you want the array to be rebinned to its actual size!

I've had to construct a 'mysmooth()' function that checks to see if the number of points by which to smooth things is less than 2, in which case I just return the calling array.

Are there other functions out there that you can think of that don't have a sensible default evalution for limiting cases like this? I would love to see this one changed.

Dick French

Subject: Re: Smooth()
Posted by jeyadev on Thu, 14 Dec 2000 15:44:25 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
In article <3A385A8B.B4CCE3C5@wellesley.edu>,
Richard G. French <rfrench@wellesley.edu> wrote:
> Out of curiosity, can anyone think of a good reason that the
> smooth() function bombs when you ask it to smooth something by 1?
>
> Ex:
> print,smooth([1.,3.,4.,2.],3)
```

```
1.00000
                2.66667
                            3.00000
                                        2.00000
> print,smooth([1.,3.,4.,2.],1)
> % SMOOTH: Width must be > 2 and smaller than array dimensions: <INT
       1)>
> % Execution halted at: $MAIN$
>
> I have lots of instances where the amount of smoothing I want to
> do is a variable, and it seems silly to have to do my own checking
> to see if I actually need any smoothing or not.
> It certainly makes sense to me that if the
> smoothing width is only one bin, the smooth() function should just
> be a no-op and return the input array. This is what the REBIN()
> function does, after all - it does not complain if you tell it you
> want the array to be rebinned to its actual size!
> I've had to construct a 'mysmooth()' function that checks to see
> if the number of points by which to smooth things is less than 2.
> in which case I just return the calling array.
>
> Are there other functions out there that you can think of that
```

> don't have a sensible default evalution for limiting cases like this?

> I would love to see this one changed.

See my posting yesterday about the AVG function. When it gets a scalar, it spews out an error message as the argument expected is an array. Yes, one would assume that some elementary checking to catch degenerate cases is done. I am using PV-Wave CL Version 6.01.

Surendar Jeyadev

jeyadev@wrc.xerox.com