Subject: Re: Oddball Event Handling (Longer than it Ought to Be) Posted by Craig Markwardt on Sun, 31 Dec 2000 15:45:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi David--

Thanks for the cool description of you project.

Now, prepare to be lightly toasted :-)

- > FUNCTION FindTLB, startID
- >
- > ; This function traces up the widget hierarchy to find the top-level base.

>

- > FORWARD\_FUNCTION FindTLB
- > parent = Widget\_Info(startID, /Parent)
- > IF parent EQ 0 THEN RETURN, startID ELSE parent = FindTLB(parent)
- > RETURN, parent
- > END

I have no problem with recursion. In this case however it's not really needed. For the book larnin' types, this is known as tail recursion I believe, which is often easily optimized. I admit recursion may help you conceptualize what's going on though. Wouldn't the following code do the same thing?

parent = startid
while widget\_info(parent, /parent) NE 0 do \$
parent = widget\_info(parent, /parent)

Craig

--

-----

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: Oddball Event Handling (Longer than it Ought to Be) Posted by davidf on Sun, 31 Dec 2000 19:01:38 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Craig Markwardt (craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu) writes:

> Thanks for the cool description of you project.

>

```
> Now, prepare to be lightly toasted :-)
>> FUNCTION FindTLB, startID
>> : This function traces up the widget hierarchy to find the top-level base.
>>
>> FORWARD FUNCTION FINDTLB
>> parent = Widget_Info(startID, /Parent)
>> IF parent EQ 0 THEN RETURN, startID ELSE parent = FindTLB(parent)
>> RETURN, parent
>> END
> I have no problem with recursion. In this case however it's not
> really needed. For the book larnin' types, this is known as tail
> recursion I believe, which is often easily optimized. I admit
> recursion may help you conceptualize what's going on though. Wouldn't
 the following code do the same thing?
>
> parent = startid
> while widget_info(parent, /parent) NE 0 do $
   parent = widget_info(parent, /parent)
```

Oh, sure, it would \*work\*. But how you gonna give something like that away? :-)

Apparently I didn't make it clear that I wasn't looking for criticism of my exciting new program, but I have to admit I fooled around for a few minutes trying to get a WHILE loop to work. But after becoming confused I just wandered around in the wilderness for a while, making a change here, and another change there for no apparent rational reason (you know, how you do when you are improvising) and all of a sudden, BLAM, something worked.

In my personal programming myth, if something works it is clearly the most highly optimised solution. But thanks for your suggestion. :-)

Cheers.

David

David Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Subject: Re: Oddball Event Handling (Longer than it Ought to Be) Posted by John-David T. Smith on Tue, 02 Jan 2001 17:22:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Craig Markwardt wrote:
> Hi David--
> Thanks for the cool description of you project.
>
 Now, prepare to be lightly toasted :-)
>
>> FUNCTION FindTLB, startID
>> : This function traces up the widget hierarchy to find the top-level base.
>> FORWARD FUNCTION FINdTLB
>> parent = Widget_Info(startID, /Parent)
>> IF parent EQ 0 THEN RETURN, startID ELSE parent = FindTLB(parent)
>> RETURN, parent
>> END
> I have no problem with recursion. In this case however it's not
> really needed. For the book larnin' types, this is known as tail
> recursion I believe, which is often easily optimized. I admit
> recursion may help you conceptualize what's going on though. Wouldn't
> the following code do the same thing?
>
> parent = startid
> while widget_info(parent, /parent) NE 0 do $
   parent = widget info(parent, /parent)
>
```

To defend the concept of recursion, you need a full tree walk. I found this lying among many other scraps, written several years ago. It goes the other direction, starting with a TLB (or any widget for that matter), and compiling a list of all widgets in the heirarchy beneath it. You can do it without recursion (as you can any algorithm), but it's ugly.

It's depth first (which, David, means that the recursive function call occurs before the part which looks "sideways" at a given tree level, so you descend all the way to the "leaf nodes" before unraveling the recursive stack). You can also easily make it breadth first search, so that siblings are discovered before children, grandchildren, etc. It's all in the ordering.

## File Attachments

1) treedesc.pro, downloaded 91 times