Subject: Mac 32 bit color depth?
Posted by Wayne Landsman on Tue, 20 Mar 2001 18:55:49 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I have a simple program that tries to reset the default value of !P.COLOR for the current (Mac, Win or X) device using the following code:

device, get_visual_depth=depth !P.Color = 256L^(depth/8) - 1

I am told that this fails on IDL V5.4 on a Mac since device,/get_visual_depth returns a value of 32 (whereas !P.COLOR is 256L^24 -1). Can other Mac users verify this? What is the meaning of a 32 bit visual depth?

Thanks, -- Wayne

Wayne Landsman landsman@mpb.gsfc.nasa.gov

Subject: Re: Mac 32 bit color depth?
Posted by btt on Tue, 20 Mar 2001 19:52:03 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

Yes, I can confirm this behavior on a Mac G4 running system 9.04:

```
!P.Color = 256L^{(depth / 8)} - 1
!p.color =
              -1
!P.Color = 256L^{((depth < 24)/8)} - 1
!p.color =
           16777215
** Structure !VERSION, 7 tags, length=44:
 ARCH
              STRING 'PowerMac'
 OS
            STRING
                      'MacOS'
 OS FAMILY
                STRING
                          'MacOS'
 RELEASE
                STRING
                         '5.4'
 BUILD DATE
                 STRING 'Nov 1 2000'
 MEMORY BITS
                   INT
                             32
 FILE OFFSET BITS
          INT
                       32
```

I don't understand the meaning of 32bit displays. It is possible to have 32 bit depths on Windows platforms also. I don't have a Windows machine handy with IDL5.4, but it would be nice to see the results of the above

on those machines.

It maybe unrelated to what your asking, but note that David posted the following recently (see Pavel's post on 'Open file in 5.4'):

- > What I have noticed is that on a 32-bit display, it
- > seems almost impossible to flip back and forth between
- > Device, Decomposed=0 and Device, Decomposed=1. What is
- > the best way to be able to display either a 24-bit or
- > an 8-bit image? It seems to me the color table vectors
- > have to be re-loaded every time you set Decomposed=0.
- > Is this what you recommend?

Ben

```
Wayne Landsman wrote:
> I have a simple program that tries to reset the default value of
> !P.COLOR for the current (Mac, Win or X) device using the following
> code:
> device, get_visual_depth=depth
> !P.Color = 256L^(depth/8) - 1
> I am told that this fails on IDL V5.4 on a Mac since
> device,/get_visual_depth returns a value of 32 (whereas !P.COLOR is
> 256L^24 -1). Can other Mac users verify this?
                                                  What is the meaning
> of a 32 bit visual depth?
>
 Thanks, --Wayne
>
> Wavne
> Landsman
> landsman@mpb.gsfc.nasa.gov
Ben Tupper
Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences
180 McKown Point Rd.
W. Boothbay Harbor, ME 04575
```

Subject: Re: Mac 32 bit color depth?
Posted by Joseph B. Gurman on Fri, 23 Mar 2001 00:05:45 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

btupper@bigelow.org

In article <thierry-2203011142210001@dualpants.dev.rsinc.com>, thierry@NOSPAM.rsinc.com (Thierry Faucounau) wrote:

[snip]

>

- > I am not averse to returning the "usable" (from an IDL standpoint) visual
- > depth from get_visual_depth which would be 24 (essentially ignoring the
- > fact that on the Mac, all "true color" buffers are in fact 32bits) for
- > the sake of portability.

>

> -

- > Thierry Faucounau
- > Research Systems, Inc.

[snip]

Thierry, that sounds very reasonable --- but it would be great to be able to access the transparency channel: I would love to be able to create movies (e.g. with XINTERANIMATE) in IDL that include transparency effects.

Any chance?

Thanks,

Joe Gurman

Subject: Re: Mac 32 bit color depth?
Posted by William Daffer on Sat, 24 Mar 2001 01:55:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Joseph B. Gurman" <gurman@ari.net> writes:

- > In article <thierry-2203011142210001@dualpants.dev.rsinc.com>,
- > thierry@NOSPAM.rsinc.com (Thierry Faucounau) wrote:

>

> [snip]

>>

- >> I am not averse to returning the "usable" (from an IDL standpoint) visual
- >> depth from get visual depth which would be 24 (essentially ignoring the
- >> fact that on the Mac, all "true color" buffers are in fact 32bits) for
- >> the sake of portability.

>>

>> --

- >> Thierry Faucounau
- >> Research Systems, Inc.

>

```
> [snip]
> Thierry, that sounds very reasonable --- but it would be great to be
> able to access the transparency channel: I would love to be able to
> create movies (e.g. with XINTERANIMATE) in IDL that include transparency
> effects.
> Any chance?
> Thanks,
> Joe Gurman
```

And wouldn't it make the creation of .png files a little easier? Don't they support a native RGBA?

whd

--

Outside of a dog, a book is man's best friend Inside of a dog it's too dark to read

-- Groucho Marx

Subject: Re: Mac 32 bit color depth?
Posted by Randall Frank on Sat, 24 Mar 2001 17:55:50 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Here he goes again...

<soapbox>

Let me try to add some context to things here. It seems people are a bit confused as to what is meant by 32 and 24 bit color, particularly for 2D (Direct) graphics. With the exception of a few (older) Macintosh gfx cards, 32 bit color generally means that pixels are addressed as 32 bit quantities instead of 24 bit quantities. 32 bit mode is generally faster (due to pixel alignment issues) and in many cases, the extra 8 bits are actually inaccessible (and on some cards they do not exist). There are some Mac cards that map the color space to CMYK (as noted earlier) for more accurate color registration. Don't confuse issues of destination alpha with those of source alpha. Supporting blended draws (as Object Graphics do) is more a function of source alpha and in most cases matters not if the destination is 24 or 32 bits. Just because a card has a "32 bit" visual, does not mean it has a "transparency" HW channel. The biggest bang for the buck comes in supporting source alpha, blended drawing modes which have nothing to do with the question

of 32 vs 24 bit visual support. </soapbox>

<bli><bli>
dithering>

The real question is what abstraction of these issues would be useful in IDL? Source alpha is in Object Graphics already. It does not require HW alpha plane support, and thus tends to be fairly universally supported by both 3D and 2D APIs. Destination alpha is supported in common 3D APIs but not as many 2D APIs. I have heard one request for destination alpha (wrt png) in the previous discussions, but would ask seriously how one intends to use the destination alpha channel. Given the complexities of pixel formats exposed in DG already, I might question the value of exposing another, especially given cross-platform issues. </br>

<IMHO>

In short, source alpha might be useful in DG (but can easily be done yourself in the existing API for many applications). Destination alpha should be considered carefully, particularly outside of 3D APIs. </IMHO>

- >> In article <thierry-2203011142210001@dualpants.dev.rsinc.com>,
- >> thierry@NOSPAM.rsinc.com (Thierry Faucounau) wrote:

<insidejoke>

Thierry, "dualpants.dev."? My preference, of course, "nicepants.", the original :). </insidejoke>

>>

- >> Thierry, that sounds very reasonable --- but it would be great to be
- >> able to access the transparency channel: I would love to be able to
- >> create movies (e.g. with XINTERANIMATE) in IDL that include transparency
- >> effects.

<ruminate>

Interesting, yes. But potentially a loaded issue, given the way XINTERANIMATE works (e.g. its use of pixmaps). I might inquire what kind of transparency effects? Cross fades and the like, accumulation like effects or stenciling? You may be actually asking for quite a bit more from XINTERANIMATE (e.g. multiple source channels). </ri>

>

> And wouldn't it make the creation of .png files a little easier?

> Don't they support a native RGBA?

<tersecrypticreply> IMHO, ATC, no. </tersecryticreply>

Man am I in a strange mood today...:)

rjf.

Subject: Re: Mac 32 bit color depth?

Posted by davidf on Sat, 24 Mar 2001 18:57:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Randall Frank (rjfrank1@home.com) writes:

> Man am I in a strange mood today...:)

A natural consequence of light and heat deprivation, I hear.

Cheers.

David

P.S. Let's just say if the folks at Lawrence Livermore can't power it up, who can? :-(

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155