Subject: Re: pointer question Posted by Mark Hadfield on Thu, 22 Mar 2001 03:47:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Ted Graves" <egraves@socrates.Berkeley.EDU> wrote in message news:99blck\$ko7\$1@agate.berkeley.edu... > Hi all, > > Another lurker question ... let's say you define a pointer using the PTR NEW > function and assign to a variable x. As long as you keep track of x and don't > reassign x and lose the pointer to the heap variable, things are great. You > can remove the heap variable from memory using the PTR\_FREE procedure. > But now let's say i have a function TEST that takes a pointer as an argument, > and i want to create a pointer on the fly to use in TEST. So i do something > like > > result = TEST(PTR\_NEW(value)) > where value is whatever i want the heap variable to be. What happens to the > heap variable assigned in this statement after TEST returns? I'm assuming > from that because of the way it was created, a heap variable now exists that i > can't easily get rid of without using HEAP\_GC. Yes. But if you have access to the code of TEST you could do this: pro test, a ; Do something with a

if not arg\_present(a) then if ptr\_valid(a) then ptr\_free, a

end

Mark Hadfield

m.hadfield@niwa.cri.nz http://katipo.niwa.cri.nz/~hadfield National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Mark Hadfield wrote:
  "Ted Graves" <egraves@socrates.Berkeley.EDU> wrote in message
> news:99blck$ko7$1@agate.berkeley.edu...
>> result = TEST(PTR_NEW(value))
>>
>> where value is whatever i want the heap variable to be. What happens toth e
>> heap variable assigned in this statement after TEST returns? I'm assuming
>> from that because of the way it was created, a heap variable now exists that i
>> can't easily get rid of without using HEAP GC.
>
> Yes.
> But if you have access to the code of TEST you could do this:
>
> pro test, a
>
     ; Do something with a
>
>
     if not arg_present(a) then if ptr_valid(a) then ptr_free, a
>
> end
Very nice! However, what if you pass in 'a' by value, e.g., from an
array of pointers?
If I call test like
 for i=0, n_elements(PointerArray)-1 do test(PointerArray[i])
then I lose all the heap variables, right?
I would prefer to avoid the problem altogether by making TEST accept
both pointers and values, something like:
pro test, a
  if size(a, /type) ne 10 then begin
     a = ptr_new(a, /no_copy)
     a2ptr = 1
  endif else a2ptr = 0
  ; Do something with a, pointer-based.
  if a2ptr and ptr_valid(a) then begin
     a_{copy} = a
     a = temporary(*a)
     ptr_free, a_copy
```

endif end

If TEST is not your own code, this could easily be done in a wrapper routine as well. The flexibility of not having to bother about pointers-or-not is great for command-line use. But then again, using heap\_gc on the command line every once in a while is not a big problem either...

Jaco

Jaco van Gorkom gorkom@rijnh.nl

FOM-Instituut voor Plasmafysica "Rijnhuizen", The Netherlands

Subject: Re: pointer question
Posted by Paul van Delst on Thu, 22 Mar 2001 14:52:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## Ted Graves wrote:

> > Hi all,

> Another lurker question ... let's say you define a pointer using the PTR\_NEW

- > function and assign to a variable x. As long as you keep track of x and don't
- > reassign x and lose the pointer to the heap variable, things are great. You
- > can remove the heap variable from memory using the PTR\_FREE procedure.
- > But now let's say i have a function TEST that takes a pointer as an argument,
- > and i want to create a pointer on the fly to use in TEST. So i do something
- > like

>

- > result = TEST(PTR\_NEW(value))
- > where value is whatever i want the heap variable to be. What happens to the
- > heap variable assigned in this statement after TEST returns? I'm assuming
- > from that because of the way it was created, a heap variable now exists that i
- > can't easily get rid of without using HEAP\_GC.
- > Me and my sloppy programming ...

If you recognise this as sloppy programming along with all it's problems (heap variable you can't get rid of easily), then why do this? Seems to me to be a good example of how \*not\* to use pointers. What's wrong with:

```
x=ptr_new(value)
result = test(x)
```

?

what if value is some huge array? won't your original call suck up a bunch of memory that you can't free without the use of HEAP\_GC?

From the HEAP\_GC online help:

"Note - Garbage collection is an expensive operation. When possible, applications should be written to avoid losing pointer and object references and avoid the need for garbage collection."

Note the last sentence.

paulv

--

Paul van Delst A little learning is a dangerous thing;

CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP Drink deep, or taste not the Pierian spring;

Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274 There shallow draughts intoxicate the brain,

Fax:(301)763-8545 And drinking largely sobers us again.

paul.vandelst@noaa.gov Alexander Pope.

Subject: Re: pointer question

Posted by Pavel A. Romashkin on Thu, 22 Mar 2001 16:24:16 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## Mark Hadfield wrote:

```
> pro test, a
>
>     ; Do something with a
>     if not arg_present(a) then if ptr_valid(a) then ptr_free, a
>     end
```

I am sorry, I have not had my first cup of coffe yet. How is that supposed to work? If there is \*no\* argument present, \*then\* try to check if the missing argument is a pointer? What am I missing? Should it be

if arg\_present(a) then if ptr\_valid(a) then ptr\_free, a ;?

Also, in the example provided by Ted, the parameter is not going to be recognized by Arg\_present, because it is not passed by reference since it is an expression.

But I think Paul answered already how to do this sort of thing properly.

```
Subject: Re: pointer question
Posted by John-David T. Smith on Thu, 22 Mar 2001 16:56:16 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
```

```
Ted Graves wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> Another lurker question ... let's say you define a pointer using the PTR_NEW
> function and assign to a variable x. As long as you keep track of x and don't
> reassign x and lose the pointer to the heap variable, things are great. You
> can remove the heap variable from memory using the PTR_FREE procedure.
>
> But now let's say i have a function TEST that takes a pointer as an argument,
  and i want to create a pointer on the fly to use in TEST. So i do something
> like
>
 result = TEST(PTR NEW(value))
>
> where value is whatever i want the heap variable to be. What happens to the
> heap variable assigned in this statement after TEST returns? I'm assuming
> from that because of the way it was created, a heap variable now exists that i
 can't easily get rid of without using HEAP_GC.
>
> Me and my sloppy programming ...
On an only slightly related note, does everyone know that you can recover a
pointer to a "lost" heap variable using ptr valid? Here's an example:
IDL> a=ptr new(1)
IDL> print,a
<PtrHeapVar4>
IDL> a='oh no, I overwrote my pointer variable'
IDL> help,/heap_variables
Heap Variables:
  # Pointer: 1
  # Object: 0
```

1

<PtrHeapVar4> INT

IDL> print,\*a

IDL> a=ptr\_valid(4,/CAST)

You can also get a vector of pointers to every heap variable using:

IDL> pvec=ptr\_valid()

While this isn't exactly useful programatically, it may get you out of a pinch.

JD

Subject: Re: pointer question

Posted by Pavel A. Romashkin on Thu, 22 Mar 2001 17:21:59 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

John-David Smith wrote:

> While this isn't exactly useful programatically, it may get you out of a pinch.

I could see even how you could get the output from Help into a string, then use Cast to get the heap variables, but the effort involved seems much larger than avoiding losing the pointers tostart with. Besides, they say not to use Help to gather any info used in a program, as they can change it at any time.

Cheers, Pavel

Subject: Re: pointer question
Posted by Paul van Delst on Thu, 22 Mar 2001 18:00:12 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Pavel A. Romashkin" wrote:

>

> John-David Smith wrote:

>

>> While this isn't exactly useful programatically, it may get you out of a pinch.

>

- > I could see even how you could get the output from Help into a string,
- > then use Cast to get tthe heap variables, but the effort involved seems
- > much larger than avoiding losing the pointers tostart with. Besides,
- > they say not to use Help to gather any info used in a program, as they
- > can change it at any time.

And it wouldn't inspire confidence in code when the method used to recover lost pointer references is via a procedure called "help". :o)

paulv

--

Paul van Delst A little learning is a dangerous thing;

CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP Drink deep, or taste not the Pierian spring;

Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274 There shallow draughts intoxicate the brain,

Fax:(301)763-8545 And drinking largely sobers us again.

paul.vandelst@noaa.gov Alexander Pope.

Subject: Re: pointer question

Posted by Craig Markwardt on Fri, 23 Mar 2001 04:15:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

John-David Smith <jdsmith@astro.cornell.edu> writes:

> On an only slightly related note, does everyone know that you can recover a

> pointer to a "lost" heap variable using ptr\_valid? Here's an example:

> IDL> a=ptr\_valid(4,/CAST)

> IDL> print,\*a

> 1

So, is there a way to go the \*other\* direction? Which is to say, if you have a pointer, can you get its index number? [ not using HELP of course. ]

Craig

--

-----

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

-----

Subject: Re: pointer question

Posted by R.Bauer on Sun, 25 Mar 2001 17:24:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## Ted Graves wrote:

>

> Hi all,

>

- > Another lurker question ... let's say you define a pointer using the PTR\_NEW
- > function and assign to a variable x. As long as you keep track of x and don't
- > reassign x and lose the pointer to the heap variable, things are great. You
- > can remove the heap variable from memory using the PTR FREE procedure.

>

> But now let's say i have a function TEST that takes a pointer as an argument,

- > and i want to create a pointer on the fly to use in TEST. So i do something
- > like

> result = TEST(PTR\_NEW(value))

>

- > where value is whatever i want the heap variable to be. What happens to the
- > heap variable assigned in this statement after TEST returns? I'm assuming
- > from that because of the way it was created, a heap variable now exists that i
- > can't easily get rid of without using HEAP GC.
- > Me and my sloppy programming ...

>

- > Ted Graves
- > Magnetic Resonance Science Center, UCSF

We have a routine in our library which I am using often in this case.

http://www.fz-juelich.de/icq/icq1/idl icqlib/idl source/idl html/dbase/download/rec ptr free.tar.gz

For further routines and licensing please look at http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg1/idl icglib/idl lib intro.h tml

regards

Reimar

Reimar Bauer

Institut fuer Stratosphaerische Chemie (ICG-1) Forschungszentrum Juelich email: R.Bauer@fz-juelich.de http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg1/

a IDL library at ForschungsZentrum J�lich http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg1/idl icglib/idl lib intro.h tml

http://www.fz-juelich.de/zb/text/publikation/juel3786.html

Subject: Re: pointer question

Posted by Mark Hadfield on Sun, 25 Mar 2001 22:07:06 GMT

"Craig Markwardt" <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote in message news:onelvpxgqt.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu...

>

- > So, is there a way to go the \*other\* direction? Which is to say, if
- > you have a pointer, can you get its index number? [ not using HELP of
- > course. 1

Err... parse the output of string(myptrvar, /PRINT)

--

Mark Hadfield

m.hadfield@niwa.cri.nz http://katipo.niwa.cri.nz/~hadfield National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research

Subject: Re: pointer question
Posted by Mark Hadfield on Sun, 25 Mar 2001 22:16:24 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Pavel A. Romashkin" <pavel.romashkin@noaa.gov> wrote in message news:3ABA272F.538D0EB0@noaa.gov...

> Mark Hadfield wrote:

>> pro test, a

>> ; Do something with a

>>

>

>> if not arg\_present(a) then if ptr\_valid(a) then ptr\_free, a

>> >> end

- > I am sorry, I have not had my first cup of coffe yet. How is that
- > supposed to work? If there is \*no\* argument present, \*then\* try to check
- > if the missing argument is a pointer? What am I missing?

The question answered by ARG\_PRESENT is not "Is this argument present", it is "If I change this variable, will it be passed back to the caller?". So the intention of the code in routine "test" was to see whether the calling program holds a reference to variable a.

I have never used this in my own code, but tt seemed like a clever idea when I suggested it. Jaco has pointed out the flaw: what if the caller holds the pointer heap variable (say in an array) but chooses to pass it by value?

---

Mark Hadfield

Subject: Re: pointer question
Posted by Martin Schultz on Mon, 26 Mar 2001 09:05:13 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Ted Graves wrote:
```

```
> Hi all,
Another lurker question ... let's say you define a pointer using the PTR_NEW
function and assign to a variable x. As long as you keep track of x and don't
reassign x and lose the pointer to the heap variable, things are great. You
can remove the heap variable from memory using the PTR_FREE procedure.
But now let's say i have a function TEST that takes a pointer as an argument,
and i want to create a pointer on the fly to use in TEST. So i do something
like
result = TEST(PTR_NEW(value))
where value is whatever i want the heap variable to be. What happens to the
heap variable assigned in this statement after TEST returns? I'm assuming
from that because of the way it was created, a heap variable now exists that i
```

- > Ne and my sloppy programming ...
- > We and my sloppy programming ..
- > Ted Graves
- > Magnetic Resonance Science Center, UCSF

> can't easily get rid of without using HEAP\_GC.

Let me second Paul here. WHY? This is the real question here. As I relearned only recently, IDL automatically passes variables by reference unless you index them (or do whatever other weird things to them). So from a program efficiency standpoint, you are passing a pointer when you simply write

```
result = test(value)
```

Now if you really want to give test only the data of the first column (or row or whatever), you really should, as Paul suggests, create and destroy the pointer in the caller routine, i.e.:

```
x = Ptr_New(value[0,*])
result = test(x)
Ptr_Free, x
```

But even then: you don't even need a pointer here! The following is exactly the same in terms of efficiency, and it doesn't require a cleanup (if you write it inside a procedure or function).

```
x = value[0,*]
result = test(x)
```

So, why use pointers at all, the witty lurker might ask now? Let me dare to say that you only need them within structures (or objects for that purpose), i.e. if you need to access a variable at a certain place but you don't know it's shape or size beforehand.

Cheers,

Martin

Subject: Re: pointer question
Posted by Craig Markwardt on Mon, 26 Mar 2001 12:56:16 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Mark Hadfield" <m.hadfield@niwa.cri.nz> writes:

```
> "Craig Markwardt" <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote in message
> news:onelvpxgqt.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu...
>>
>> So, is there a way to go the *other* direction? Which is to say, if
>> you have a pointer, can you get its index number? [ not using HELP of
>> course.]
> Err... parse the output of string(myptrvar, /PRINT)
Hey, that's kind of neat! (in an IDL-kludgey-sort-of-way)
```

Craig

------

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

-----

Subject: Re: pointer question

Posted by egraves on Tue, 27 Mar 2001 01:06:44 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks to all who chimed in on my pointer question. The background of me posing this dilemma was that i was under the assumption that IDL passed variables by value. Since i am dealing with a number of rather large data structures, i thought it would be wise to pass pointers to these structures in function calls instead of passing the structures themselves. And naturally after coding for a while, i found situations where i wanted to execute a function using a hybrid of several data structures, and instead of creating one explicitly, i hastily concocted one, used it to define a pointer with PTR\_NEW, and directly passed the result of this to my function.

I have gone back and corrected this naughty practice. Thanks everyone!

Ted Graves
Magnetic Resonance Science Center, UCSF