
Subject: Re: controlling plot appearance (was "line graph problems")

Posted by [Paul van Delst](#) on Tue, 27 Mar 2001 15:04:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Martin Schultz wrote:

>

> Paul van Delst wrote:

>>

>> Yeah, but recently I have come to the conclusion that IDL is not mature enough to allow me
>> absolute control in a simple, intuitive way of plot properties (note that the qualifier
>> "simple" eliminates OG :o) as opposed to some other proprietary plotting package (like,
>> for e.g., Grapher or Surfer).

>

> You speak "out of my heart" here. And this is exactly the reason why
> David went ahead recently to develop a graphical tool to set up plot
> properties. Since it concentrates on getting the correct values for
> the myriad of plot keywords, it is not necessarily more "intuitive"
> than these, but at least you get "instant gratification" in that you
> can see what effect a keyword setting has. But if you are a little
> more "anal" in the way things should look (this is a quote from several
> posts of David), there is really no way around messing with character
> sizes and positions - and here, IDL (at least in direct graphics) does
> a rather lousy job in providing the user with reasonable defaults or
> an easy reference frame.

I agree wholeheartedly. I really don't see the problem with providing keywords in the PLOT command to allow users to shift the axis labels and tick mark labels using even normalised coordinates. Would that be hard to do in IDL? If so, why? (These are questions directed "out there", not targeted at you in particular, Martin). Why can't I do something like:

```
PLOT, x, y, YTITLE = 'My Y Data', YTITLE_SHIFT = [ -0.1, 0.0 ]
```

to bump the ytitle *from its default position which I don't want to have to calculate* to the left by 0.1 normalised units but keeping it centered vertically?

I don't want to have to use a GUI to make a plot. That's one of the reasons I stopped using Grapher (for pub quality plots) and moved entirely to IDL. Now that Grapher has a script language (like Surfer) I may have to revisit that option (but probably not since Golden software only runs on windoze platforms...at least last time I looked).

> Now, I haven't looked to what
> extent object graphics is going this way, but I fear that - at least
> up to version 5.4 - it has not become easier to tightly control the
> plot appearance if you use object graphics.

Maybe not - I don't use OG so I can't really say. However, it does appear from other's posts here that the properties available for setting/changing is quite broad. But, and for me at least this is a big but, if I can't create a plot in one or two lines of code then

forget it. I want to look at my data, not set up models, and views, and coordinate conversions and what not. PLOT, x, y is the simplicity I'm looking for.

paulv

--

Paul van Delst A little learning is a dangerous thing;
CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP Drink deep, or taste not the Pierian spring;
Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274 There shallow draughts intoxicate the brain,
Fax:(301)763-8545 And drinking largely sobers us again.
paul.vandelst@noaa.gov Alexander Pope.

Subject: Re: controlling plot appearance (was "line graph problems")

Posted by [btt](#) on Tue, 27 Mar 2001 16:03:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul van Delst wrote:

>
>
> Maybe not - I don't use OG so I can't really say. However, it does appear from other's
> posts here that the properties available for setting/changing is quite broad. But, and for
> me at least this is a big but, if I can't create a plot in one or two lines of code then
> forget it. I want to look at my data, not set up models, and views, and coordinate
> conversions and what not. PLOT, x, y is the simplicity I'm looking for.

Hello Paul,

It would be relatively easy to create an plotting object for direct graphics (I'm not volunteering for this until I get more than just red colors on my display!) New graphics keywords could be added, like your YTITLE_SHIFT keyword example. Once you figure out what to do with the YTITLE given its SHIFT, you make it a method within the object to handle that property. You could introduce other keywords like XSUBTITLE and YSUBTITLE. Similar objects could be created for any kind of direct graphics routine (I have started a direct graphics contour object a number of times... someday I shall finish it... what? it's 2001 already?)

Here's what it might look like:

```
MyPlotObj = obj_new('DG_Plot', X, Y, YTITLESHIFT = [-0.1, 0],  
OTHERKEYWORDS = ....)  
MyPlotObj->Plot  
;ah shoot, forgot to set these  
MyPlotObj->SetProperty, Background = 3, isotropic = 1  
MyPlot->Plot  
;make a printout
```

```
devicename = !D.name  
Set_plot, 'printer'  
MyPlotObj->Plot  
Device, /Close  
Set_plot, devicename
```

```
Obj_destroy, MyPlotObj
```

If it were that simple then would you still say, 'if I can't create a plot in one or two lines of code then forget it'? I think that is a really important question, (at least I find myself asking this over and over before starting a new project.)

Ben

P.S. YTITLE_SHIFT? Geez, how would you do that?

--

Ben Tupper
Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences
180 McKown Point Rd.
W. Boothbay Harbor, ME 04575
btupper@bigelow.org

Subject: Re: controlling plot appearance (was "line graph problems")

Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Tue, 27 Mar 2001 17:21:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Martin Schultz <martin.schultz@dkrz.de> writes:

> You speak "out of my heart" here. And this is exactly the reason why
> David went ahead recently to develop a graphical tool to set up plot
> properties. Since it concentrates on getting the correct values for
> the myriad of plot keywords, it is not necessarily more "intuitive"
> than these, but at least you get "instant gratification" in that you
> can see what effect a keyword setting has. But if you are a little
> more "anal" in the way things should look (this is a quote from several
> posts of David), there is really no way around messing with character
> sizes and positions - and here, IDL (at least in direct graphics) does
> a rather lousy job in providing the user with reasonable defaults or
> an easy reference frame. What is clearly missing, I think, is a
> "panel" coordinate system which would have "normal" coordinates with
> respect to a plot, not to the entire window or page.
... other requests removed

I hear you Martin, and I agree with you. We need more control over

our plots sometimes.

It dawned on me that we may not need *more* keywords to PLOT. Rather, there should be a simple way to piece together a plot from simple primitives. AXIS is a good start but we need other primitives to establish coordinate systems and perform data scaling. Instead of shoehorning more stuff into a monolithic plot command, it should be easier to compose our own plot from simple elements. *Then*, in the simple elements we can add these extra features.

In any case, I don't think it matters. RSI probably considers direct graphics to be the wave of the past.

Craig

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: controlling plot appearance (was "line graph problems")

Posted by [davidf](#) on Tue, 27 Mar 2001 17:21:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ben Tupper (btupper@bigelow.org) writes:

```
> It would be relatively easy to create an plotting object for direct
> graphics (I'm not volunteering for this until I get more than just red
> colors on my display!) New graphics keywords could be added, like your
> YTITLE_SHIFT keyword example. Once you figure out what to do with the
> YTITLE given its SHIFT, you make it a method within the object to handle
> that property. You could introduce other keywords like XSUBTITLE and
> YSUBTITLE. Similar objects could be created for any kind of direct
> graphics routine (I have started a direct graphics contour object a
> number of times... someday I shall finish it... what? it's 2001
> already?)
>
> Here's what it might look like:
>
> MyPlotObj = obj_new('DG_Plot', X, Y, YTITLESHIFT = [-0.1, 0],
> OTHERKEYWORDS = ....)
> MyPlotObj->Plot
> ;ah shoot, forgot to set these
> MyPlotObj->SetProperty, Background = 3, isotropic = 1
> MyPlot->Plot
> ;make a printout
```

```
> devicename = !D.name
> Set_plot, 'printer'
> MyPlotObj->Plot
> Device, /Close
> Set_plot, devicename
>
> Obj_destroy, MyPlotObj
>
>
> If it were that simple then would you still say, 'if I can't create a
> plot in one or two lines of code then forget it'? I think that is a
> really important question, (at least I find myself asking this over and
> over before starting a new project.)
```

Oh, I think it could be made a LOT easier than that!

As Martin and I have alluded to, we have been trying to develop a program that gives the user a bit more control over how a plot looks on the display. I guess it might be time to reveal it and get user feedback. This is truly version 1.0 of the software. Even we have some ideas for things it still needs. :-)

And it is not nearly as sophisticated as rotating axis labels or shifting labels by some small amount. But I can envision it becoming something like that. That is to say, I know how to do those kinds of things, and I believe I could implement it in the object oriented approach we have used here. But, alas, we are offering the software for free, so you pretty much have to take what you get and rely on the kindness of strangers for updates. :-)

I'll put the program up on my web page for a limited time, if people want to try it out. The Max-Planck Institute of Meteorology has provided a small amount of funding to bring this software to you. I hope you extend your gratitude to those folks should you ever run into them.

The program is here:

http://www.dfanning.com/programs/mpi_plot.zip

The program uses a number of files from both the Coyote Library and Martin's Max-Planck library. I've included everything you need in the zip file. To try it out, I recommend you unzip the files in a local directory,

then either CD into that directory from within IDL (highly recommended) or add the directory to your path (this could be a problem if you don't have the latest Coyote or Max Plank files in directories ahead of this one on the path). To run the program, just type MPI_PLOT. Or, you can use your own data. This is basically a wrapper routine for the PLOT command, although the output will appear in its own display window.

All complaints, feature requests, etc. should be directed to Martin. :-)

I particularly like the little gizmo for positioning the plot in the window. Users of PSConfig will be familiar with the concept. Let's just say I *knew* that code would be useful for something someday!

I should mention that MPI_PLOT is really just an example plot to show off the underlying functionality that we have been working on. The real guts of the stuff we have been doing is to have an interactive way to set plot and axis keywords. What we imagine is that people will use the more fundamental programs to build their own graphics display routines, like MPI_PLOT. But all of this will be documented in an upcoming World Premier Release that will happen later this year.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting
Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: controlling plot appearance (was "line graph problems")
Posted by [btt](#) on Tue, 27 Mar 2001 17:33:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

D

I knew we could smoke you out!

B

David Fanning wrote:

>

> Ben Tupper (btupper@bigelow.org) writes:

>

>> It would be relatively easy to create an plotting object for direct
>> graphics (I'm not volunteering for this until I get more than just red
>> colors on my display!) New graphics keywords could be added, like your
>> YTITLE_SHIFT keyword example. Once you figure out what to do with the
>> YTITLE given its SHIFT, you make it a method within the object to handle
>> that property. You could introduce other keywords like XSUBTITLE and
>> YSUBTITLE. Similar objects could be created for any kind of direct
>> graphics routine (I have started a direct graphics contour object a
>> number of times... someday I shall finish it... what? it's 2001
>> already?)

>>

>> Here's what it might look like:

>>

>> MyPlotObj = obj_new('DG_Plot', X, Y, YTITLESHIFT = [-0.1, 0],
>> OTHERKEYWORDS =)

>> MyPlotObj->Plot

>> ;ah shoot, forgot to set these

>> MyPlotObj->SetProperty, Background = 3, isotropic = 1

>> MyPlot->Plot

>> ;make a printout

>> devicename = !D.name

>> Set_plot, 'printer'

>> MyPlotObj->Plot

>> Device, /Close

>> Set_plot, devicename

>>

>> Obj_destroy, MyPlotObj

>>

>>

>> If it were that simple then would you still say, 'if I can't create a
>> plot in one or two lines of code then forget it'? I think that is a
>> really important question, (at least I find myself asking this over and
>> over before starting a new project.)

>

> Oh, I think it could be made a LOT easier than that!

>

> As Martin and I have alluded to, we have been trying
> to develop a program that gives the user a bit more
> control over how a plot looks on the display. I guess
> it might be time to reveal it and get user feedback.
> This is truly version 1.0 of the software. Even we
> have some ideas for things it still needs. :-)

>

> And it is not nearly as sophisticated as rotating
> axis labels or shifting labels by some small amount.
> But I can envision it becoming something like that.
> That is to say, I know how to do those kinds of things,
> and I believe I could implement it in the object
> oriented approach we have used here. But, alas, we
> are offering the software for free, so you pretty
> much have to take what you get and rely on the kindness
> of strangers for updates. :-)
>
> I'll put the program up on my web page for a limited
> time, if people want to try it out. The Max-Planck
> Institute of Meteorology has provided a small amount
> of funding to bring this software to you. I hope you
> extend your gratitude to those folks should you ever
> run into them.
>
> The program is here:
>
> http://www.dfanning.com/programs/mpi_plot.zip
>
> The program uses a number of files from both the Coyote
> Library and Martin's Max-Planck library. I've included
> everything you need in the zip file. To try it out, I
> recommend you unzip the files in a local directory,
> then either CD into that directory from within IDL
> (highly recommended) or add the directory to your path
> (this could be a problem if you don't have the latest
> Coyote or Max Plank files in directories ahead of this
> one on the path). To run the program, just type MPI_PLOT.
> Or, you can use your own data. This is basically a wrapper
> routine for the PLOT command, although the output will
> appear in its own display window.
>
> All complaints, feature requests, etc. should be directed
> to Martin. :-)
>
> I particularly like the little gizmo for positioning
> the plot in the window. Users of PSConfig will be
> familiar with the concept. Let's just say I *knew* that
> code would be useful for something someday!
>
> I should mention that MPI_PLOT is really just an example
> plot to show off the underlying functionality that we
> have been working on. The real guts of the stuff we have
> been doing is to have an interactive way to set plot and
> axis keywords. What we imagine is that people will use
> the more fundamental programs to build their own graphics

> display routines, like MPI_PLOT. But all of this will
> be documented in an upcoming World Premier Release that
> will happen later this year.
>
> Cheers,
>
> David
>
> --
> David Fanning, Ph.D.
> Fanning Software Consulting
> Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com
> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
> Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

--
Ben Tupper
Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences
180 McKown Point Rd.
W. Boothbay Harbor, ME 04575
btupper@bigelow.org

Subject: Re: controlling plot appearance (was "line graph problems")
Posted by [Paul van Delst](#) on Tue, 27 Mar 2001 18:33:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Markwardt wrote:

>
> Martin Schultz <martin.schultz@dkrz.de> writes:
>> You speak "out of my heart" here. And this is exactly the reason why
>> David went ahead recently to develop a graphical tool to set up plot
>> properties. Since it concentrates on getting the correct values for
>> the myriad of plot keywords, it is not necessarily more "intuitive"
>> than these, but at least you get "instant gratification" in that you
>> can see what effect a keyword setting has. But if you are a little
>> more "anal" in the way things should look (this is a quote from several
>> posts of David), there is really no way around messing with character
>> sizes and positions - and here, IDL (at least in direct graphics) does
>> a rather lousy job in providing the user with reasonable defaults or
>> an easy reference frame. What is clearly missing, I think, is a
>> "panel" coordinate system which would have "normal" coordinates with
>> respect to a plot, not to the entire window or page.
> ... other requests removed
>
> I hear you Martin, and I agree with you. We need more control over
> our plots sometimes.
>

- > It dawned on me that we may not need *more* keywords to PLOT. Rather,
- > there should be a simple way to piece together a plot from simple
- > primitives.

For me, that's exactly what I *don't* want to do.

- > AXIS is a good start be we need other primitives to
- > establish coordinate systems and perform data scaling.

Argh. Who wants to establish coordinate systems explicitly everytime they make a plot? It would be like using, oh, OG. :o)

Data scaling I already do using the '*' operator, as in "scale_factor * x_data" :o)

- > Instead of
- > shoehorning more stuff into a monolithic plot command,

Emotive words. I prefer the "addition" of more "features" to produce a more "flexible" plot command.

- > In any case, I don't think it matters. RSI probably considers direct
- > graphics to be the wave of the past.

Nuts - I was afraid someone was going to bring that up. Still, if RSI does plan this and if they do do it, I sure hope they make it transparent to the DG users, i.e. all the old code still works the same way. I guess I'd better start learning some other handy-dandy language anyway just in case. sigh.

Come to think of it, I wonder what RSI sees as its "user base" of the future? Programmers who put together large project whiz-bang widgets thingoes or non-programmers who just want to look at line plots/surface/images *quickly* to gain some insight into their data? One of the best things about IDL, I reckon, is when someone gives me a data file, I read it in in about 30 seconds, then display a plot, surface, or image in about 2 seconds. The eye-widening, jaw-dropping, "howdyadothat?" look is becoming rarer what with scientist folk becoming more familiar with IDL-like tools, but it still happens every now and again. :o)

paulv

--

Paul van Delst A little learning is a dangerous thing;
CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP Drink deep, or taste not the Pierian spring;
Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274 There shallow draughts intoxicate the brain,
Fax:(301)763-8545 And drinking largely sobers us again.
paul.vandelst@noaa.gov Alexander Pope.
