Subject: Re: String constant troubles Posted by gurman on Sun, 23 Jan 1994 15:02:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <ZOWIE.94Jan22182823@daedalus.stanford.edu>, zowie@daedalus.stanford.edu (Craig "Powderkeg" DeForest) wrote:

>

- > Whenever I deal with IDL, I'm always impressed both with the completeness
- > of it, and with the boneheadedness of it. Sometimes I'm struck with the
- > urge to take all the programmers out back and throttle them!

>

> Here's a quote from the IDL User's Guide, p. 2-6:

>

- > QUOTATION MARK (")
- > The quotation mark precedes octal numbers, which are always integers,
- > and delimits string constants. Example: "100B is a byte constant equal to
- > 64 base 10 and "Don't drink the water" is a string constant.

>

- > So far so good. They don't mention that "100B" is neither byte constant
- > (possible) nor string constant (right and correct and good). Instead, it's
- > a SYNTAX ERROR!

Craig -

Maybe it would be easier to bear if you got used to using single 'characters to delimit your string constants (except when they have apostrophes

in them!). IDL is perfectly happy with '100b' as a string, and even "100b' (although it can't convert the latter to an integer, and you probably don't want to know what it converts the former to :).

Even in IDL, you can't have it all, all the ways, all the time. I think I can live with it in return for the conmvenience of recognition of octal numbers (but then again, I started using IDL in the days of RSX11-M on PDP-11's, when hex was a foreign language used by IBM types).

Joe Gurman

--

Joseph B. Gurman / NASA Goddard Space Flight Center/ Solar Data Analysis Center / Code 682 / Greenbelt MD 20771 USA / gurman@uvsp.gsfc.nasa.gov | Federal employees are still prohibited from holding opinions while at | work. Any opinions expressed herein must therefore be someone else's. |