Subject: Re: why is using objects so much slower? Posted by davidf on Fri, 06 Apr 2001 13:14:52 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Henkie (deckard_007nospam@deja.com) writes:

- > because I love object oriented programming, I decided to try the objects in
- > IDL too. However, when using the objects to plot some of my stuff, it
- > turned out to be soooooo sloooow. Really, I mean a factor of 10 or so, and
- > I wasn't doing anything funky. Is this normal behaviour? I'm actually
- > quite disappointed about it that I can not use it this way. I must add that
- > IDL on our HP9000 system isn't a speed champion (let alone the awfull
- > pseudo-coloring : oops, my program ate all my colors), but at least it was
- > useable.

There is no question object graphics can sometimes be slower than direct graphics. Often this is caused by less than optimal programming practice, but it also has to do with lugging a true 3D representation along everywhere you go. To be honest, this has become less an issue as computers have gotten faster.

But, line plots!? Why would you *want* to do those in object graphics? If you love object programming, code up an object to do a line plot in direct graphics. You get about 99% of the benefit of object graphics in an object that is fast and powerful. At least 90% of the objects I write don't use the object graphics class library at all.

Cheers.

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: why is using objects so much slower?
Posted by Pavel A. Romashkin on Fri, 06 Apr 2001 15:53:30 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I think the most important thing to remember is that Objects in IDL is not the same as Object graphics. I also can say that from the 3

platforms I routinely use IDL on, the HP9000 is the one I dislike the most. Object graphics are very slow on the terminals, because graphic accelerators are just not present, and the software rendering is slow. I did use OG for line plots for one simple reason: too lazy to write DG substitutes for the existing ROI and ogWindow Pickdata and Select methods. On a fast personal computer, OG is not much slower that DG.

Pavel

Henkie wrote: > Hi, > because I love object oriented programming, I decided to try the objects in > IDL too. However, when using the objects to plot some of my stuff, it > turned out to be soooooo sloooow. Really, I mean a factor of 10 or so, and > I wasn't doing anything funky. Is this normal behaviour? I'm actually > quite disappointed about it that I can not use it this way. I must add that > IDL on our HP9000 system isn't a speed champion (let alone the awfull > pseudo-coloring: oops, my program ate all my colors), but at least it was > useable.

Subject: Re: why is using objects so much slower?
Posted by Martin Schultz on Mon, 09 Apr 2001 08:11:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Henkie wrote:

> Hi, >

>

> Greetz,

> Henk

- > because I love object oriented programming, I decided to try the objects in
- > IDL too. However, when using the objects to plot some of my stuff, it
- > turned out to be soooooo sloooow. [...][/color]

directer your graphics should be.

Well, this is not the objects, but the rendering in the IDL object graphics routines. At RSI they apparently have the idea that no later than 2 years from now everyone will sit in front of a machine with a sophisticated 3D rendering engine (and that all the Linuxes will by then support 3D rendering natively ;-). May still be that direct graphics is a little faster for certain applications. I'd dare to postulate the following theorem: the more you care about individual pixels or line fragments, the

Martin