
Subject: Re: TRIGRID co-linearity problem

Posted by [Roman Schreiber](#) on Wed, 09 May 2001 08:25:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I had a similar problem few weeks ago. Without going into details I tried a "brute force" approach adding to x and y geographical coordinates small random parts (about 0.0001 * coordinate value). Error disappeared and the resulting contour plot was OK. But it does not of course explain the reason for the peculiar behavior of trigrid procedure...

Christopher Davey wrote:

>
> The triangulation() procedure works just fine, but In my call to
> trigrid(), I get an error that I cannot figure out: "Points are
> co-linear, no solution."

Regards

Roman Schreiber

schreibe@ncac.torun.pl

Subject: Re: TRIGRID co-linearity problem

Posted by [Christopher Davey](#) on Wed, 09 May 2001 21:54:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Roman,

I went ahead and tried doing what you recommended, using the randomu or randomn functions to add random parts to my latitude and longitude arrays. But I am still getting a co-linear error from trigrid. Are you somehow determining specifically which x (longitude) and y (latitude) points are co-linear and then adding the random perturbations to these points only?

Roman Schreiber wrote:

> I had a similar problem few weeks ago. Without going into details
> I tried a "brute force" approach adding to x and y geographical
> coordinates small random parts (about 0.0001 * coordinate value).
> Error disappeared and the resulting contour plot was OK.
> But it does not of course explain the reason for the peculiar
> behavior of trigrid procedure...
>
> Christopher Davey wrote:
>>

>> The triangulation() procedure works just fine, but In my call to
>> trigrd(), I get an error that I cannot figure out: "Points are
>> co-linear, no solution."
>
> Regards
>
> Roman Schreiber
>
> schreibe@ncac.torun.pl
