Subject: Re: 2 questions

Posted by Nigel Wade on Thu, 16 Aug 2001 08:40:10 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Bob Fugate wrote:

- > I am batch processing a number of HDF files, saving output to results files.
- > I have a short procedure that first checks the validity of the files using
- > HDF ISHDF -- just to avoid file access problems during the main processing
- > routines. The files are located on a server, or if old enough, on a tape
- > library, with only a stub left on the server. A file on tape opened by
- > HDF ISHDF (or any other routine) is automatically restored from tape to the
- > server. The problem is, if the file is on tape, HDF_ISHDF times out before
- > the file gets reloaded. HDF_ISHDF fails. I could modify the check routine to
- > put these files in a separate list to process on the second pass once the
- > files are restored. I don't have a simple way (and apparently not even a
- > complicated way) to check on the status of these files to know whether they
- > are on the server or in the library.

>

- > Question: Is there a better way to handle this? For instance, is there a way
- > to extend the time-out period to allow the file to be restored so it can be
- > checked and subsequently processed? Is there a way to terminate the
- > HDF_ISHDF query before it times out and put this file on a list to process
- > in a second batch? Any suggestions greatly appreciated.

Is there some feature of the stub files which you could test before calling HDF ISHDF? Perhaps the length, or the first few bytes of the contents.

Then you could use logic like:

```
for each file
  test file
  if stub
   add file to list of deferred files
  else
   HDF ISHDF file
```

This way you avoid calling HDF_ISHDF for the stub files.

Nigel Wade, System Administrator, Space Plasma Physics Group, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK

E-mail: nmw@ion.le.ac.uk

Phone: +44 (0)116 2523568, Fax: +44 (0)116 2523555

Subject: Re: 2 questions

Posted by Alex Schuster on Thu, 16 Aug 2001 11:24:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Bob Fugate wrote:

- > Question 2: I am using IDL on 2 platforms: MacOS and Windows NT, both
- > versions 5.4. On the Mac, there is a feature that lets one select any number
- > of lines in the editor and comment them all out in one command (and
- > subsequently uncomment them when needed)--- a very valuable feature for
- > someone like me who is not proficient at programming. I can't find this
- > feature in the Windows version. Am I missing it somehow? Any suggested
- > approaches short of saving the file under a new name and deleting lines in
- > that file?

Instead of using comments like here:

bla

: bla

; blabla

: blablabla

blalallla

you could use the GOTO statement:

bla

GOTO, LABEL

bla

blabla

blablabla

LABEL:

blalallla

Alex

--

Alex Schuster Wonko@planet-interkom.de alex@pet.mpin-koeln.mpg.de

Subject: Re: 2 questions

Posted by Richard French on Thu, 16 Aug 2001 12:24:21 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Bob Fugate wrote:

- > Question: Is there a better way to handle this? For instance, is there a way
- > to extend the time-out period to allow the file to be restored so it can be
- > checked and subsequently processed? Is there a way to terminate the
- > HDF_ISHDF query before it times out and put this file on a list to process
- > in a second batch? Any suggestions greatly appreciated.

>

Another possibility is not to use HDF_ISHDF as the first line of defense

-

I am not familiar with the formats of HDF files, but if there is a unique

set of bits or bytes at the start of the file that clearly designates that it is supposed to be an HDF file, then you can write your own very simple check program to read the start of the file and see if it is in that format. Reading the file will trigger the restore command from tape,

as you describe it. Perhaps instead of checking to see if it is an HDF file,

you can check to see if it is a stub file, either by determining the size

of the file or, if there is a unique format to the stub file, seeing if the

contents of the file match that pattern instead of the HDF format.

Dick French

Subject: Re: 2 questions

Posted by Pavel A. Romashkin on Thu, 16 Aug 2001 16:16:36 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Alex Schuster wrote:

- >
- > bla
- > GOTO, LABEL
- > bla
- > blabla
- > blablabla
- > LABEL:
- > blalallla

Hey! This is copyright infringement. Only my code is allowed to look like this!

Cheers,

Pavel

Subject: Re: 2 questions

Posted by Jeff Guerber on Thu, 16 Aug 2001 19:27:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wed, 15 Aug 2001, Bob Fugate wrote:

- > I am batch processing a number of HDF files, saving output to results files.
- > I have a short procedure that first checks the validity of the files using
- > HDF_ISHDF -- just to avoid file access problems during the main processing
- > routines. The files are located on a server, or if old enough, on a tape
- > library, with only a stub left on the server. A file on tape opened by
- > HDF ISHDF (or any other routine) is automatically restored from tape to the
- > server. The problem is, if the file is on tape, HDF_ISHDF times out before
- > the file gets reloaded. HDF_ISHDF fails. I could modify the check routine to
- > put these files in a separate list to process on the second pass once the
- > files are restored. I don't have a simple way (and apparently not even a
- > complicated way) to check on the status of these files to know whether they
- > are on the server or in the library.

>

- > Question: Is there a better way to handle this? For instance, is there a way
- > to extend the time-out period to allow the file to be restored so it can be
- > checked and subsequently processed? Is there a way to terminate the
- > HDF_ISHDF query before it times out and put this file on a list to process
- > in a second batch? Any suggestions greatly appreciated.

I don't know anything about HDF_ISHDF, but perhaps you could do a simple OPENR, and if it fails (is there a specific error for the timeout?), wait a while, and keep doing this until it succeeds. Then you know the file is restored, so close it and do your HDF_ISHDF. Something like this:

openr, lun, 'filename', /get_lun, error=openerr while (openerr ne 0) do begin wait, 10 openr, lun, 'filename', error=openerr endwhile ;; Now we know the file is on the server free_lun, lun result=hdf_ishdf('filename')

(Actually, you'll probably want to limit the number of iterations, in case the file doesn't exist at all, etc.) Hope this helps.

Jeff Guerber Raytheon ITSS NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Oceans & Ice Branch, code 971 Subject: Re: 2 questions

Posted by Bob Fugate on Sat, 18 Aug 2001 02:33:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I have solved my problem with archived files. My administrator changed an option on the server that makes the size of the files 0KB if they are on the tape library. I can now request file size using FILE_TEST without opening the file (thus not disturbing it if on tape) and easily make a list of files to defer for later processing.

Alex, Thanks for the suggestion of using GOTO. I have been told for years by professional programmers to avoid using a GOTO statement with the utmost resolve. So naturally, your suggestion never occurred to me. Of course, it would not have occurred to me even if I had not been told GOTO is bad practice.

Bob Fugate

```
> From: "Pavel A. Romashkin" <pavel.romashkin@noaa.gov>
> Organization: NOAA-CMDL-CIRES
> Newsgroups: comp.lang.idl-pvwave
> Date: Thu, 16 Aug 2001 10:16:36 -0600
> Subject: Re: 2 questions
> Alex Schuster wrote:
>> bla
>> GOTO, LABEL
>> blabla
>> blablabla
>> LABEL:
```

>> blalallla

- > Hey! This is copyright infringement. Only my code is allowed to look
- > like this!
- > Cheers.
- > Pavel