
Subject: Re: Puzzle with floating point underflow
Posted by [Paul van Delst](#) on Thu, 23 Aug 2001 14:25:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Martin Schultz wrote:

>
> Not a real problem because underflows are considered harmless

Aargh! wash your mouth out with soap! :o)

Sigh.

Paul "!EXCEPT=2" van Delst

--

Paul van Delst A little learning is a dangerous thing;
CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP Drink deep, or taste not the Pierian spring;
Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274 There shallow draughts intoxicate the brain,
Fax:(301)763-8545 And drinking largely sobers us again.
 Alexander Pope.

Subject: Re: Puzzle with floating point underflow
Posted by [Martin Schultz](#) on Fri, 24 Aug 2001 10:50:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul van Delst <paul.vandelst@noaa.gov> writes:

> Martin Schultz wrote:
>>
>> Not a real problem because underflows are considered harmless
>
> Aargh! wash your mouth out with soap! :o)
>
> Sigh.
>
> Paul "!EXCEPT=2" van Delst
>

Can you recommend a soap brand that doesn't taste too bad?

In fact, I only quoted from the IDL online help:

"In the vast majority of cases, floating-point underflow errors are harmless and can be ignored."

I don't like statements like this either, but !EXCEPT=2 really slows down my code too much ;-)

Cheers (with no exceptions),

Martin

--

```

[[ Dr. Martin Schultz  Max-Planck-Institut fuer Meteorologie  [[
[[          Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg          [[
[[          phone: +49 40 41173-308          [[
[[          fax: +49 40 41173-298          [[
[[ martin.schultz@dkrz.de          [[

```
